

PARTIAL ACTIONS: WHAT THEY ARE AND WHY WE CARE

ELIEZER BATISTA

ABSTRACT. In this work, we give a survey of recent developments in the theory of partial actions of groups and Hopf algebras.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Partial actions of groups	5
3. Partial (co)actions of Hopf algebras	11
4. Globalization	17
5. Partial representations and partial modules	21
6. Conclusions and perspectives	29
References	31

1. INTRODUCTION

The history of mathematics is composed of many moments in which an apparent dead end just opens new doors and triggers further progress. The origins of partial actions of groups can be considered one such case. The notion of a partial group action first appear in R. Exel's paper in 1994 [38]. There the problem was to calculate the K-theory of some C^* algebras which have an action by automorphisms of the circle $\mathbb{S}^1$. By a known result due to Paschke, under suitable conditions about a C^* algebra carrying an action of the circle group, it can be proved that this C^* algebra is isomorphic to the crossed product of its fixed point subalgebra by an action of the integers. The main hypothesis of Paschke's theorem is that the action has large spectral subspaces. A typical example in which this hypothesis doesn't occur is the action of $\mathbb{S}^1$ on the Toeplitz algebra by conjugation by the diagonal unitaries $\text{diag}(1, z, z^2, z^3, \dots)$, for $z \in \mathbb{S}^1$. Therefore a new approach was needed to explore the internal structure of these algebras which carries circle actions but don't have large enough spectral subspaces. Using some techniques coming from dynamical systems, these algebras could be characterized as the crossed product by partial automorphisms. The notion of a partial automorphism of an algebra is an isomorphism between two ideals in the same algebra. Given a group G , the family of partial automorphisms of an algebra A indexed by the elements of the group is what is called a partial action of the group G on A . The point of view of crossed products

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 16W30; Secondary 16S40, 16S35, 58E40.

Key words and phrases: partial Hopf action, partial action, partial coaction, partial smash product, partial representation.

by partial actions of groups was enormously successful for classifying C^* algebras, the most relevant examples are the Bunce-Deddens algebras [40], approximately finite dimensional algebras [41] and the Cuntz-Krieger algebras [44]. More recently, a characterization as a partial crossed product was given to the Bost-Connes and Cuntz-Li C^* algebras associated to integral domains [20].

Very early in the beginnings of the theory of partial actions of groups in the context of operator algebras, many unexpected connections were explored. For example, partial group actions are closely related to actions of inverse semigroups [39]. In fact, for each group G , there is a universal inverse semigroup $S(G)$, nowadays known as Exel's semigroup, which associates to each partial action of G , on a set (topological space) X a morphism of semigroups between $S(G)$ and the inverse semigroup of partially defined bijections (homeomorphisms) in X . At the level of C^* algebras, this can be encoded by the notion of a partial representation of the group G , and the inverse semigroup $S(G)$ can be replaced by a C^* algebra, $C_{par}^*(G)$ which has the universal property of factorizing partial representations by $*$ -homomorphisms. The structure and the universal property of the partial crossed product was also explored [54]. The study of partial dynamical systems, that is, dynamical systems originated by the action of a partially defined homeomorphism in a topological space, was strongly benefited by the theory of partial group actions and partial crossed products, the most influential article in this direction is due to R. Exel, M. Laca and J. Quigg [45]. The historical developments and more recent advances of the theory of partial actions in operator algebras and dynamical systems are explained in R. Exel's book [42].

Partial group actions began to draw the attention of algebraists after the release of the seminal paper [30], by R. Exel and M. Dokuchaev. There, the authors defined partial group actions on algebras and partial skew group algebras. The first difference appeared in the definition of the partial skew group algebra which is not automatically associative in any situation, one sufficient condition to assure the associativity is to require that the algebra A over which the group acts partially is semiprime, that is, all its non zero ideals are either idempotent or nondegenerate. This is the case for the class of C^* algebras, because one can find an approximate unit in each of its ideals. The globalization problem, which is the question whether given a partial group action is a restriction of a global one, first addressed in the operator algebraic context by F. Abadie [1, 2], was also translated into purely algebraic terms in [30]. A partial action of a group G on a unital algebra A is globalizable if, and only if, for each $g \in G$ the ideal A_g , which is the domain of the partially defined isomorphism $\alpha_{g^{-1}}$, is a unital ideal, that is, it is generated by a central idempotent $1_g \in A$. Finally, in the same article, the notion of a partial representation of a group G and the construction of the partial group algebra $k_{par}G$, which factorizes partial representations by morphisms of algebras, was made in purely algebraic terms. The partial group algebra can be characterized as the partial skew group algebra defined by a partial action of the group G on a specific abelian subalgebra of $k_{par}G$.

The algebraic theory of partial actions and partial representations of groups underwent several advances. The interconnection between partial actions and the theory of inverse semigroups were explored, for example in references [14], [46] and [55]. In particular, Exel's universal semigroup $S(G)$ was shown in [51] to be isomorphic to the Birget-Rhodes expansion $\tilde{G}^R$ of the group G [17, 18, 56]. The role

of groupoids in partial actions was early pointed out by F. Abadie in [3], in fact, partial actions of a group on sets are related in a categorical way with groupoids [51]. But groupoids in certain sense are more general structures and then partial actions of groupoids became an interesting subject by itself [24]. We can also cite the role of groupoids in the globalization of partial actions of groups [43]. The algebraic theory of partial representations of finite groups was particularly well developed because of the isomorphism between the partial group algebra of a finite group G , $k_{par}G$, and the algebra $k\Gamma(G)$ of a groupoid, $\Gamma(G)$, whose objects are subsets of the group [31]. The very geometric structure of the groupoid $\Gamma(G)$ in terms of its connected components allows one to decompose the partial group algebra into a product of matrix algebras, in particular, for the case when $char(k) \nmid |G|$ it can be proved that the partial group algebra is semi-simple. The groupoid structure also is a tool for classifying irreducible partial representations of a finite group [35].

To point out other noteworthy advances from the purely algebraic point of view are several ring theoretic considerations, for example partial actions of groups on semiprime rings [48] and the study of the structure of partial skew group rings with regard its semisimplicity and semiprimeness [49]. Another highlight is the development of the theory of twisted partial group actions. In this context, it was possible to characterize a G -graded algebra as a crossed product by a twisted partial action of G [32]. Also the globalization of twisted partial group actions was developed in reference [33]. Regarding globalization, one of the most important recent results can be found in reference [4] and states that every partial group action over a not necessarily unital algebra is Morita equivalente to a globalizable (unital) partial action.

The attempt to extend Galois theory for commutative algebras, due to Chase, Harrison and Rosenberg [28], to the case of partial group actions (see reference [34]) spawned a new and unexpected development, namely the extension of the notion of partial actions to the realm of Hopf algebras. There are two seminal articles that triggered the study of partial actions of Hopf algebras. The first, authored by S. Caenepeel and E. de Groot [26], extended the Galois theory for partial group actions for the case of noncommutative rings, using the techniques of Galois corings [23, 25, 58]. The second, by S. Caenepeel and K. Janssen [27], defined lax, weak and partial entwining structures, as well their duals, lax, weak and partial smash products. From a specific partial entwining structure involving a Hopf algebra H and an algebra A , one can define what is a partial coaction of H on A and from a smash product structure involving the same ingredients, we obtain the notion of a partial action of H on A . In this paper, the authors discuss the relation between weak and partial entwining structures, they explore dualities and define a partial Hopf-Galois theory. The theory of partial entwining structures can be placed in a more abstract setting, namely, the weak theory of monads, due to G. Böhm [22].

These above mentioned articles were the starting point to the theory of partial actions of Hopf algebras. The first result was an extension of Cohen-Montgomery duality theorem for partial group actions, but now using already Hopf algebra techniques [52]. Afterwards, several developments appeared in the literature, as examples, we can point out the globalization theorem for partial actions of Hopf algebras [6], the construction of the subalgebra of partial invariants and a Morita context between the partial smash product and the invariant subalgebra [7]. The globalization theorem proved to be an important tool for solving problems involving partial

actions, for example, in reference [8], using globalization it was possible to generalize the result of C. Lomp [52] proving an analogous of the Blattner-Montgomery theorem for duality of Hopf actions [19] for the case of partial Hopf actions. Going ahead, twisted partial actions of Hopf algebras were also defined [9] and its globalization was recently achieved [10]. Partial (co)actions of Hopf algebras on k -linear categories were also studied in [5]. New classes of nontrivial examples have been discovered, these examples are typically Hopf algebraic, not coming from the ordinary partial group actions, allowing the theory of partial actions of Hopf algebras to become more independent from the original theory of partial group actions. A deeper connection between partial actions of Hopf algebras and Hopf algebroids [21] was established in reference [11], there appeared the notions of a partial representation of a Hopf algebra and of a partial module over a Hopf algebra. This new approach, based on Hopf algebroids allowed to introduce a more categorical point of view for partial actions. This was the first step in order to make partial representations an interesting tool for representation theory. The category of partial modules has a monoidal structure which was not explicit in the previous works for the group case. Then, even for the study of partial representations of groups, the techniques of monoidal categories coming from the theory of partial representations of Hopf algebras can be very useful. Finally, Hopf algebras are the natural environment to deal with dualities, in the context of partial actions of Hopf algebras, several dual constructions were defined [15], expanding the universe of interesting objects related to partial actions and co-actions.

The aim and scope of this article is to review the basic definitions and constructions related to partial actions of groups and Hopf algebras to a broader mathematical audience. We highlighted important examples and results scattered throughout the literature in order to draw the reader's attention to what has been done in the theory so far and also to point out some directions for future research. We mainly emphasize the recent developments of the theory of partial actions in the realm of Hopf algebra theory. The basic reason for our choice is the existence of an extensive literature summarizing the advances and the achievements for the case of partial actions and partial representations of groups. Although, it is worthy to be pointed out that our developments in partial (co)actions of Hopf algebras are deeply rooted on what has been done for groups by the above mentioned mathematicians and many others, who were not mentioned but whose importance is widely acknowledge by the mathematical community. All omissions in this paper may be reckoned as an author's fault. For the interested reader, we recommend, for example [29] and [42], and references therein, for a thorough description of techniques and results of partial group actions .

This paper is organized as follows: In section 2 we review the basic issues about partial group actions. We point out the relationship between partial group actions and groupoids and present the partial skew group algebras, which is one of the most important constructions in the whole theory. In the same section we show that, for the case of a partial action of a finite group on a finite set, the partial skew group algebra is isomorphic to the algebra of the groupoid associated to that partial action. As the algebra of a groupoid is a weak Hopf algebra, this isomorphism endows the partial skew group algebra with a weak Hopf algebra structure. This result, even though it is very simple, does not appear in the previous literature and it can be considered the only result in this article which was not published

elsewhere. In section 3, we define partial actions and partial co-actions of Hopf algebras, we present some nontrivial examples of partial actions, and also present the partial smash product, the most important and useful structure constructed upon partial actions of Hopf algebras. We are emphasizing most the partial actions but we will point out very briefly some dual constructions recently defined and try to describe a big picture involving all these dual structures. In section 4, we address the several globalization theorems that exists in the context of partial group actions and partial actions of Hopf algebras as well. In section 5, we treat of partial representations of groups and Hopf algebras, first we review very briefly the relationship between partial actions of groups and inverse semigroups, which provides a broader environment to treat partial group actions. For the linearized case, partial representations of groups on algebras, we describe the universal partial group algebra which factorizes every partial representation by a morphism of algebras. The rich structure of the partial group algebra is described. For partial representations of Hopf algebras, there is also a universal algebra factorizing partial representations by algebra morphisms and this algebra has the structure of a Hopf algebroid. We point out the role played by Hopf algebroids in the whole theory and describe the monoidal structure of the category of partial modules. Finally, in section 6 we mention some open questions and give an outlook of some directions for future research, arguing that partial actions and partial representations have the potential to be a more fundamental tool in representation theory.

2. PARTIAL ACTIONS OF GROUPS

The very basic notion we start is the concept of a partial action of a group on a set. Partial actions of groups are more common in mathematics than we could expect at principle, whenever we deal with ordinary differential equations whose flux is incomplete, or when we take fractional linear transformations, we are dealing with examples of partial actions of groups. This section on partial group actions does not intend to be exhaustive, for more details about partial group actions, see the excellent survey by M. Dokuchaev [29].

Definition 2.1. *A partial action α of a group G on a set X is a pair of families of subsets and maps indexed by G , $\alpha = (\{X_g\}_{g \in G}, \{\alpha_g\}_{g \in G})$, in which $X_g \subseteq X$ for each $g \in G$ and $\alpha_g : X_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow X_g$ is a bijection satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) $X_e = X$ and $\alpha_e = Id_X$;
- (ii) $(\alpha_h)^{-1}(X_g^{-1} \cap X_h) \subseteq X_{(gh)^{-1}}$, for each $g, h \in G$;
- (iii) $\alpha_g(\alpha_h(x)) = \alpha_{gh}(x)$, for each $x \in X_g^{-1} \cap X_{(gh)^{-1}}$.

A morphism ϕ between two partial actions, $\alpha = (\{X_g\}_{g \in G}, \{\alpha_g\}_{g \in G})$, and $\beta = (\{Y_g\}_{g \in G}, \{\beta_g\}_{g \in G})$ of the same group G is a function $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

- (a) $\phi(X_g) \subseteq Y_g$, for each $g \in G$.
- (b) For every $x \in X_{g^{-1}}$, $\beta_g(\phi(x)) = \phi(\alpha_g(x))$.

We denote the category of partial actions of a group G on sets with morphisms of partial actions by $\underline{Psets}_G$

Some few remarks are pertinent about the previous definition: First, the axiom (i) reflects the fact that the neutral element of the group acts as the identity, while the axioms (ii) and (iii) combined say that the composition of bijections indexed by elements of the group, wherever it is defined, is compatible with the operation

of the group. Second, the axioms (1) and (iii) combined lead to the conclusion that $(\alpha_g)^{-1} = \alpha_{g^{-1}}$. Third, the axiom (ii) can be proved to be equivalent to

$$(ii') \quad \alpha_g(X_{g^{-1}} \cap X_h) = X_g \cap X_{gh}, \text{ for every } g, h \in G.$$

It is easy to see that any global action $\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{Bij}(X)$, in which $\text{Bij}(X)$ denotes the bijections defined on the set X , is a partial action of G on X . Also it is quite straightforward that a partial action is global if, and only if, for every $g \in G$ we have $X_g = X$.

The notion of a partial action can be particularized to several different contexts. For example, to define a partial action of a (discrete) group G on a topological space X , we put the domains X_g to be open subsets of X and the partially defined bijections α_g to be homeomorphisms. For the differentiable case, replace local homeomorphisms for local diffeomorphisms. The case where the group itself is a topological group or a Lie group is a bit more complicated and involves some additional conditions in order to establish that the domains are glued in a continuous/smooth manner. In the case of an action of a group G over an algebra A , we impose that the domains A_g should be ideals of A and the bijections $\alpha_g : A_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow A_g$ to be algebra isomorphisms. Along this text, we are restricting the discussion to the case of *unital partial actions*, that is, partial actions of groups on unital algebras in which the ideals A_g are unital algebras, that is, they are written as $A_g = 1_g A$ for $1_g \in A$ being a central idempotent in A . In this case, the isomorphisms α_g are considered to be unital, that is, $\alpha_g(1_{g^{-1}}) = 1_g$, for each $g \in G$.

Example 2.2. Consider G to be the additive group of integers, $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$, and X as the subset of non-negative integers $\mathbb{Z}_+$ with the action by translation. Then, for any $n \geq 0$, we have the domains

$$X_n = \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad \text{and} \quad X_{-n} = \{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \mid m \geq n\}.$$

The associated bijections are

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n : X_{-n} &\rightarrow X_n \\ m &\mapsto m + n. \end{aligned}$$

Example 2.3. [2] Consider a noncompact manifold X and a vector field $\mathbf{v} : X \rightarrow TX$ defined on it. The flux associated to the vector field $\mathbf{v}$ defines a partial action of the additive group $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ on X . Indeed, for each $x \in X$ let $\gamma_x :]a_x, b_x[\rightarrow X$ be the integral curve of the vector field $\mathbf{v}$ on x , that is $\dot{\gamma}_x(0) = \mathbf{v}(x)$, defined on its maximal interval $]a_x, b_x[$. For each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have the domain

$$X_{-t} = \{x \in X \mid t \in]a_x, b_x[\},$$

and the partially defined diffeomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_t : X_{-t} &\rightarrow X_t \\ x &\mapsto \alpha_t(x) = \gamma_x(t). \end{aligned}$$

Example 2.4. [51] Consider $G = PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ the group of complex projective transformations on the complex projective line $\mathbb{CP}^1 \cong \mathbb{S}^2$, and let $X = \mathbb{C}$ be the complex plane. The partial action is given by the fractional linear transformations on the complex plane, the so called Möbius transformations. That is, for

$$g = \left[\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right] \in PSL(2, \mathbb{C}),$$

we have the domains

$$X_{g^{-1}} = \mathbb{C} \setminus \left\{ -\frac{d}{c} \right\}, \quad X_g = \mathbb{C} \setminus \left\{ \frac{a}{c} \right\}.$$

for $c \neq 0$ or $X_g = X_{g^{-1}} = \mathbb{C}$ for $c = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_g : X_{g^{-1}} &\rightarrow X_g \\ z &\mapsto \frac{az+b}{cz+d} \end{aligned}$$

Example 2.5. [15] *This example has a geometric flavor that partial actions of groups on geometric figures can be sensitive to its internal structure. consider the action of the group $G = \mathbb{S}^1 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$, which is the semi-direct product of the rotation group $\mathbb{S}^1$ by the group $\mathbb{Z}_2$, acting on $Y = S_0 \cup S_1 \cup S_{-1}$, which consists of three horizontal unit circles in $\mathbb{R}^3$, defined as*

$$\begin{aligned} S_0 &= \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x^2 + y^2 = 1, z = 0\} \\ S_1 &= \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x^2 + y^2 = 1, z = 1\} \\ S_{-1} &= \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x^2 + y^2 = 1, z = -1\} \end{aligned}$$

The initial (global) actions is given by rotations and flips around the x axis:

$$\beta_{(\theta, j)}(x, y, z) = (x \cos \theta - y \sin \theta, jx \sin \theta + jy \cos \theta, jz), \quad j = \pm 1.$$

If we restrict ourselves to the subset $X = S_0 \cup S_1$, then we end up with a partial action of G on X , for $j = 1$ we have $X_{(\theta, 1)} = S_0 \cup S_1$ but for $j = -1$ we have $X_{(\theta, -1)} = S_0$ and $\alpha_{(\theta, j)} = \beta_{(\theta, j)}|_{X_{(-\theta, j)}}$. Geometrically, this means that we can still rotate the two circles but we can flip only the circle S_0 . Therefore, while the global action confers rigidity to the geometric figure, the partial action decouples its parts, allowing to probe its internal structure.

The previous example also explicits how to create, in general, partial group actions out of global actions. In fact, given a group action $\beta : G \rightarrow \text{Bij}(Y)$, we can restrict the action β to a subset $X \subseteq Y$, for each $g \in G$ we have

$$X_g = X \cap \beta_g(X),$$

and the partial action $\alpha_g : X_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow X_g$ is given by $\alpha_g = \beta_g|_{X_{g^{-1}}}$. This defines a partial action of G on X [30]. We shall see later in the section about globalization theorems that every partial action of a group on a set is isomorphic to a restriction of a global action of the same group on a larger set.

The restriction of domains which characterize partial actions of groups on sets entails situations in which the composition of two partially defined bijections are not defined at all. This suggests that partial group actions can be related to another important mathematical structure whose operations are not globally defined, the structure of groupoids. A groupoid is a small category, ie an internal category in Set whose morphisms are invertible. More precisely, a Groupoid is a septuple $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}^{(0)}, s, t, m, e, ()^{-1})$ in which $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ are sets, called respectively by the set of arrows and the set of objects, the maps s and t between $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, are called respectively source and target maps (intuitively, an element $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}$ can be viewed as a morphism in the category $\mathcal{G}$ between $s(\gamma)$, its domain, and $t(\gamma)$, its range). The map $m : \mathcal{G}^{(2)} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is called multiplication, its domain is the set

$$\mathcal{G}^{(2)} = \{(\gamma, \delta) \in \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \mid s(\gamma) = t(\delta)\}$$

and it is denoted by $m(\gamma, \delta) = \gamma\delta$ (intuitively, it can be viewed as composition of morphisms between $s(\delta)$ and $t(\gamma)$). The multiplication, where it is defined, is associative. The map $e : \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is called the unit map and basically associates to each object $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ the identity map $e_x \in \mathcal{G}$ whose source and target coincides with x . Finally, the map $()^{-1} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is the inversion, it associates to each arrow $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}$ its inverse γ^{-1} such that $s(\gamma^{-1}) = t(\gamma)$, $t(\gamma^{-1}) = s(\gamma)$, $\gamma\gamma^{-1} = e(t(\gamma))$ and $\gamma^{-1}\gamma = e(s(\gamma))$.

To a given partial action $\alpha = (\{X_g\}_{g \in G}, \{\alpha_g\}_{g \in G})$ of a group G on a set X , one can associate a groupoid [3]

$$\mathcal{G}(G, X, \alpha) = \{(g, x) \in G \times X \mid x \in X_{g^{-1}}\}.$$

The set of objects $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ is identified with the original set X . The source and target maps are defined, respectively, by

$$s(g, x) = x, \quad \text{and} \quad t(g, x) = \alpha_g(x).$$

The multiplication is given by

$$(g, x)(h, y) = \begin{cases} (gh, y) & \text{if } x = \alpha_h(y) \\ \text{---} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

and the units and inverses are written, respectively, by

$$e(x) = (e, x), \quad (g, x)^{-1} = (g^{-1}, \alpha_g(x)).$$

Note that the projection $\pi_1 : \mathcal{G}(G, X, \alpha) \rightarrow G$, given by $\pi_1(g, x) = g$ is a functor between the category $\mathcal{G}(G, X, \alpha)$ to the category G viewed as a small category with one object and whose arrows are the elements of the group. This functor happens to be star injective. More precisely, given a small category $\mathcal{C}$, the star over an object $x \in \mathcal{C}^{(0)}$ is the set

$$\text{Star}(x) = \{f : x \rightarrow y \mid y \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

A functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, between two small categories $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ is called star injective (surjective), if the map $F|_{\text{Star}(x)} : \text{Star}(x) \rightarrow \text{Star}(F(x))$ is injective (surjective), for every object $x \in \mathcal{C}^{(0)}$. The star injectivity of the projection map $\pi_1 : \mathcal{G}(G, X, \alpha) \rightarrow G$ can be rephrased as π_1 is star injective if $\pi_1(\gamma) = \pi_1(\delta)$ and $s(\gamma) = s(\delta)$, then $\gamma = \delta$ and its star surjectivity, which is equivalent to the action α to be global, can be rephrased as π_1 is star surjective if for each $g \in G$ and $x \in X$ there is an arrow γ such that $\pi_1(\gamma) = g$ and $s(\gamma) = x$ [51].

Conversely, given a groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ and a group G with a star injective functor $F : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow G$ (viewing G as a small category with one object), we can associate a partial action of G on the set of objects $X = \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$. Indeed, defining for each $g \in G$ the set

$$X_g = \{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \mid \exists \gamma \in \mathcal{G}, \ s(\gamma) = x, \ F(\gamma) = g^{-1}\}.$$

And define the maps $\alpha_g : X_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow X_g$ as

$$\alpha(x) = t(\gamma), \quad \text{such that} \quad s(\gamma) = x, \ F(\gamma) = g.$$

This map is well defined because the functor F is star injective. This defines a partial action of G on X , and this action is global if, and only if, the functor F is star surjective [51].

These two results above establishes a categorical equivalence between the category $\underline{Psets}_G$ of partial actions of the group G and $\underline{*Inj}_G$ whose objects are pairs $(\mathcal{G}, F : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow G)$ consisting of a groupoid and a star injective functor from this

groupoid to the group G , and whose morphisms are functors between groupoids which intertwine their respective star injective functors to G [51].

The power of the theory of partial actions can be better felt when one considers partial actions on algebras. Given any partial action α of a group G on a set X , it is possible to define a partial action of the same group on the algebra of functions of X with values in a field k , denoted here by $A = \text{Fun}(X, k)$, the domains A_g consist of the functions which vanish outside X_g and the action θ_g is given by

$$(\theta_g(f))(x) = f(\alpha_{g^{-1}}(x)), \quad \text{for } f \in A_{g^{-1}}, \text{ and } x \in X_g. \quad (1)$$

The domains A_g above defined are ideals of A and are generated by the idempotents $1_g = \chi_{X_g}$ which are the characteristic functions of the domains, therefore, the partial action is unital. For the case of X being a topological space, we require that the partial action is made on the algebra of continuous functions with values in a topological field k (usually in this case, $k = \mathbb{R}$ or $k = \mathbb{C}$), and the domains A_g are given by the ideals of continuous functions vanishing outside X_g , the difference is that their characteristic functions, in general, are not continuous functions (in fact they are only in very specific topologies, in which the domains are clopen subsets). These produces what is called non unital partial actions, they are very important and far more abundant then the unital ones, but for the purposes of this survey, we will not talk much about them. One reason is because in this case we will need techniques coming from multiplier algebras and its transposition for the Hopf context are still under development.

One of the standard contructions that arise in the theory of partial group actions on algebras is the partial crossed product, or partial skew group algebra [54, 30]. Given a (not necessarily unital) partial action $\alpha = (\{A_g\}_{g \in G}, \{\alpha_g\}_{g \in G})$ of a group G on a (not necessarily unital) algebra A , we define a multiplication on the k vector space

$$A \rtimes_{\alpha} G = \bigoplus_{g \in G} A_g \delta_g$$

by the following expression

$$(a_g \delta_g)(b_h \delta_h) = \alpha_g(\alpha_{g^{-1}}(a_g) b_h) \delta_{gh}. \quad (2)$$

This product is well defined because $a_g \in A_g$, which implies that $\alpha_{g^{-1}}(a_g) \in A_{g^{-1}}$. As $A_{g^{-1}}$ and A_h are ideals, then the product $\alpha_{g^{-1}}(a_g) b_h$ lies in the intersection $A_{g^{-1}} \cap A_h$ and by the axiom (ii) we know that $\alpha_g(\alpha_{g^{-1}}(a_g) b_h) \in A_{gh}$. Therefore, the expression (2) is well defined. The product, a priori is neither associative, the most general case in which we can ensure the associativity is when the algebra A is semiprime, that is, it has no nilpotent ideals [30]. For the case of unital actions the expression (2) can be written in a simpler manner:

$$(a_g \delta_g)(b_h \delta_h) = a_g \alpha_g(b_h 1_{g^{-1}}) \delta_{gh},$$

and the partial skew group algebra turns out to be unital, with

$$1_{A \rtimes_{\alpha} G} = 1_A \delta_e.$$

The role played by the partial skew group algebra is fundamental for the development of several aspects of the theory. As we shall see later, it plays a central role in globalization, Galois theory for partial actions, and partial representations.

Just to summarize what has been exposed of this section, let us relate the algebra of the groupoid of a partial action with the partial skew group algebra. Consider

a partial action α of a finite group G on a finite set X . We just have seen that α induces a partial action θ of G on the algebra $A = \text{Fun}(X, k)$ given by (refactionon-functions). Also we have the groupoid of a partial action $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(G, X, \alpha)$. Let us write the elements of $\mathcal{G}$ in a slightly different, although equivalent:

$$\mathcal{G}(G, X, \alpha) = \{(x, g) \in X \times G \mid x \in X_g\}.$$

The groupoid algebra $k\mathcal{G}(G, X, \alpha)$ is generated as a k vector space by the basis elements $\delta_{(x,g)}$ whose product is given by

$$\delta_{(x,g)} \cdot \delta_{(y,h)} = \delta_{(x,gh)} \llbracket \alpha_{g^{-1}}(x) = y \rrbracket,$$

in which $\llbracket \quad \rrbracket$ denotes the boolean function which takes value 1 when the sentence between brackets is true and the value 0 when the sentence between brackets is false, and the unit is given by

$$\mathbf{1} = \sum_{x \in X} \delta_{(x,e)}.$$

Then we have the following result:

Theorem 2.6. *Given a partial action α of a finite group G on a finite set X , then the algebra of the partial action groupoid $k\mathcal{G}(G, X, \alpha)$ is isomorphic to the partial skew group algebra $\text{Fun}(X, k) \rtimes_{\theta} G$, in which the partial action θ of G on the algebra of functions $\text{Fun}(X, k)$ is that induced by the partial action α .*

Proof. Define two maps, $\Phi : \text{Fun}(X, k) \rtimes_{\theta} G \rightarrow k\mathcal{G}(G, X, \alpha)$ and $\Psi : k\mathcal{G}(G, X, \alpha) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(X, k) \rtimes_{\theta} G$, given, respectively, by

$$\Phi(a\delta_g) = \sum_{x \in X_g} a(x)\delta_{(x,g)}, \quad \Psi(\delta_{(x,g)}) = \chi_x \delta_g,$$

in which χ_x is the characteristic function on $x \in X_g$, that is $\chi_x(y) = \llbracket x = y \rrbracket$. It is easy to see that $\Phi = \Psi^{-1}$ and that Φ is a morphism of algebras. Indeed

$$\Phi(\Psi(\delta_{(x,g)})) = \Phi(\chi_x \delta_g) = \sum_{y \in X_g} \chi_x(y)\delta_{(y,g)} = \delta_{(x,g)},$$

and

$$\Psi(\Phi(a\delta_g)) = \sum_{x \in X_g} a(x)\Psi(\delta_{(x,g)}) = \sum_{x \in X_g} a(x)\chi_x \delta_g = a\delta_g.$$

For the morphism, on one hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(a\delta_g)\Phi(b\delta_h) &= \sum_{x \in X_g} \sum_{y \in X_h} a(x)b(y)\delta_{(x,g)}\delta_{(y,h)} \\ &= \sum_{x \in X_g} \sum_{y \in X_h} a(x)b(y)\llbracket \alpha_{g^{-1}}(x) = y \rrbracket \delta_{(x,gh)} \\ &= \sum_{x \in X_g \cap X_{gh}} a(x)b(\alpha_{g^{-1}}(x))\delta_{(x,gh)}, \end{aligned}$$

and on the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi((a\delta_g)(b\delta_h)) &= \Phi(\theta_g(\theta_{g^{-1}}(a)b)\delta_{gh}) \\
&= \sum_{x \in X_{gh}} \theta_g(\theta_{g^{-1}}(a)b)(x)\delta_{(x,gh)} \\
&= \sum_{x \in X_{gh}} (\theta_{g^{-1}}(a))(\alpha_{g^{-1}}(x))b(\alpha_{g^{-1}}(x))\delta_{(x,gh)} \\
&= \sum_{x \in X_{gh}} a(x)b(\alpha_{g^{-1}}(x))\llbracket \alpha_{g^{-1}}(x) \in X_h \rrbracket \delta_{(x,gh)} \\
&= \sum_{x \in X_g \cap X_{gh}} a(x)b(\alpha_{g^{-1}}(x))\delta_{(x,gh)}.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the isomorphism. $\square$

As an immediate consequence of this isomorphism, as the groupoid algebra $k\mathcal{G}(G, X, \alpha)$, is a weak Hopf algebra, with structure given by

$$\Delta(\delta_{(x,g)}) = \delta_{(x,g)} \otimes \delta_{(x,g)}, \quad \epsilon_{\delta_{(x,g)}} = 1, \quad S(\delta_{(x,g)}) = \delta_{(\alpha_{g^{-1}}(x), g^{-1})},$$

the partial skew group algebra is endowed with a structure of a weak Hopf algebra, with explicit structure

$$\Delta(a\delta_g) = \sum_{x \in X_g} a(x)\chi_x\delta_g \otimes \chi_x\delta_g, \quad \epsilon(a\delta_g) = \sum_{x \in X_g} a(x), \quad S(a\delta_g) = \theta_{g^{-1}}(a)\delta_{g^{-1}}.$$

3. PARTIAL (CO)ACTIONS OF HOPF ALGEBRAS

The most remote sources for of partial actions of Hopf algebras can be found in the theory of partial Galois extensions, which was a generalization of the theory of Chase Harrison and Rosenberg of Galois extensions of commutative ring extensions to the case of partial group actions. In order to be more precise, let us recall some concepts involved in the Galois theory for partial actions. Given a commutative ring k and a unital partial action α , of a group G on a k -algebra A , we define the partial invariant subalgebra as

$$A^\alpha = \{a \in A \mid \alpha_g(a1_{g^{-1}}) = a1_g\}.$$

Definition 3.1. [34] *Let k be a commutative ring and A and B be k -algebras and α is a unital partial action of a finite group G on A . The algebra A is said to be a partial Galois extension of B if*

- (i) $A^\alpha = B$.
- (ii) *There exist elements $x_i, y_i \in A$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\alpha_g(y_i1_{g^{-1}}) = \delta_{g,e}$, for each $g \in G$.*

Actually, there are several equivalent conditions to say that A is a partial Galois extension of B (see [34], Theorem 4.1). The concept of partial Galois extension can be rephrased in terms of Galois corings. Consider a unital partial action of a finite group G over an algebra A . Out of this data one can construct an A coring [26]

$$\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{g \in G} A_g v_g = \bigoplus_{g \in G} (A1_g) v_g,$$

whose A bimodule structure is given by

$$a(bv_g)a' = ab\alpha_g(a'1_{g^{-1}}),$$

and the comultiplication and counit written, respectively, as

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}(av_g) = \sum_{h \in G} av_h \otimes_A v_{h^{-1}g}, \quad \epsilon_{\mathcal{C}}(av_g) = a\delta_{g,e}.$$

The A coring structure on $\mathcal{C}$ is equivalent to say that the partial action of G on A is unital. From this coring, one can prove that the element $x = \sum_{g \in G} v_g$ is group like and the condition of $A \supset A^\alpha$ being a partial Galois extension is equivalent to say that the canonical map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{can} : A \otimes_{A^\alpha} A &\rightarrow \mathcal{C} \\ a \otimes b &\mapsto \sum_{g \in G} a\alpha_g(b1_{g^{-1}})v_g \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism. In fact in reference [26], the authors could prove that the classical results of Hopf Galois theory and its relation to Morita equivalence could be, just under slight modifications, still valid in the context of partial actions.

The turning point in the theory was given in reference [27], in which coring techniques were strongly used to extend the notion of partial actions to the Hopf algebraic context. The underlying construction behind partial co-actions of Hopf algebras were the so called partial entwining structures while their dual notion, the partial smash product structures, lead to the concept of a partial action of a Hopf algebra. For our purposes, we are going to define partial (co)actions of Hopf algebras directly in the form they are used currently in the literature.

Definition 3.2. *Let k be a commutative ring, H be a k -bialgebra and A a unital k -algebra.*

(1) *A left partial action of H on A is a linear map*

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot : H \otimes A &\rightarrow A \\ h \otimes a &\mapsto h \cdot a \end{aligned}$$

such that

(PA1) $1_H \cdot a = a$, for each $a \in A$.

(PA2) $h \cdot (ab) = (h_{(1)} \cdot a)(h_{(2)} \cdot b)$, for each $h \in H$ and $a, b \in A$.

(PA3) $h \cdot (k \cdot a) = (h_{(1)} \cdot 1_A)(h_{(2)} k \cdot a)$, for each $h, k \in H$ and $a \in A$.

The partial action is symmetric if in addition, we have

(PA3') $h \cdot (k \cdot a) = (h_{(1)} k \cdot a)(h_{(2)} \cdot 1_A)$, for each $h, k \in H$ and $a \in A$.

We say that A is a left partial H -module algebra. The definition of a right (symmetric) partial action of H on A is completely analogous.

(2) *A right partial coaction of H on A is a linear map*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho : A &\rightarrow A \otimes H \\ a &\mapsto a^{[0]} \otimes a^{[1]} \end{aligned}$$

such that

(PC1) $(Id_A \otimes \epsilon) \circ \rho(a) = a$, for each $a \in A$.

(PC2) $\rho(ab) = \rho(a)\rho(b)$, for each $a, b \in A$.

(PC3) $(\rho \otimes Id_H) \circ \rho(a) = ((Id_A \otimes \Delta) \circ \rho(a))(\rho(1_A) \otimes 1_H)$, for each $a \in A$.

The partial coaction is symmetric if in addition, we have

(PC3') $(\rho \otimes Id_H) \circ \rho(a) = (\rho(1_A) \otimes 1_H)((Id_A \otimes \Delta) \circ \rho(a))$ for each $a \in A$.

We say that A is a right partial H -comodule algebra. The definition of a left (symmetric) partial coaction of H on A is completely analogous.

Some small remarks are pertinent about the previous definition. First, if A is a left H -module algebra, then it is automatically a left symmetric partial H -module algebra. In fact, a left partial H -module algebra is a left H -module algebra if, and only if, $h \cdot 1_A = \epsilon(h)1_A$, for each $h \in H$. Analogously, if A is a right H -comodule algebra, then it is automatically a right symmetric partial H -comodule algebra. In fact, a right partial H -comodule algebra is a right H -module algebra if, and only if, $\rho(1_A) = 1_A \otimes 1_A$. Second, note that a genuine partial H -module algebra isn't in fact an H -module, because the axiom (PA3) destroys exactly the associativity required to be a module. The same can be said for a partial H comodule algebra, because the axiom (PC3) destroys the coassociativity of the definition of an H -comodule. Third, the axiom (PA2) leads to the conclusion that the map $e : H \rightarrow A$ which associates to each $h \in H$ the element $h \cdot 1_A \in A$ is an idempotent in the convolution algebra $\text{Hom}_k(H, A)$ this is very useful to obtain many results in the theory. Similarly, the axiom (PC2) leads to the conclusion that $\rho(1_A)$ is an idempotent in $A \otimes H$, therefore, the image of ρ lies in a direct summand of the k -module $A \otimes H$. Finally, we only deal with symmetric partial (co)actions because those are required for proving most part of important results. For sake of objectivity, we shall focus mostly on partial actions, nevertheless, there are nice results involving partial coactions of Hopf algebras which will be commented briefly along this paper. In examples and description of results, in general we restrict to the case of k being a field and to k vector spaces, nevertheless, most part of results can be extended to the case when k is a commutative ring as well.

Partial actions and partial coactions are dually related [6, 8]. Let H be a Hopf algebra and A a right partial H comodule algebra with partial coaction $\rho : A \rightarrow A \otimes H$, then A is endowed with a structure of a left partial H° -module algebra, with H° denoting the finite dual of H . In this case, the partial H° action is given by

$$h^* \cdot a = a^{[0]} h^*(a^{[1]}), \quad (3)$$

in which $a \in A$, $h^* \in H^\circ$ and $\rho(a) = a^{[0]} \otimes a^{[1]} \in A \otimes H$. Dually, if A is a left partial H module algebra such that for each $a \in A$ the subspace $H \cdot a$ is finite dimensional, that is there exists $a_i \in A$ and $h_i^* \in H^\circ$, for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that

$$h \cdot a = \sum_{i=1}^n h_i^*(h) a_i,$$

then, A is a right partial H° comodule algebra with partial coaction

$$\rho(a) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes h_i^*.$$

Example 3.3. [27] *As a basic example, to make a bridge with the previous section, consider a unital partial action α of a group G on a unital algebra A . Recalling that a unital partial action means that the ideals A_g are of the form $a_g = A1_g$ in which 1_g is a central idempotent in A for each $g \in G$. This defines a partial action of the group algebra kG on A , which is defined on the basis elements by*

$$\delta_g \cdot a = \alpha_g(a1_{g^{-1}}), \quad (4)$$

and extended linearly to all elements of kG .

Note that axiom (PA1) translates into the fact that the neutral element of the group acts as identity over A , the axiom (PA2) reflects the fact that each α_g :

$A_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow A_g$ is a unital isomorphism and (PA3) can be rewritten as

$$\delta_g \cdot (\delta_h \cdot a) = 1_g(\delta_{gh} \cdot a) = (\delta_g \cdot 1_A)(\delta_{gh} \cdot a) = (\delta_{gh} \cdot a)(\delta_g \cdot 1_A).$$

Therefore, the partial action is symmetric because the idempotents 1_g are central.

The connection between unital partial actions of a group G and the corresponding partial actions of the group algebra kG allows one to see two different point of views concerning partiality. When we consider partial group actions, we end up with partially defined isomorphisms on restricted domains inside the whole algebra, while when we look at the partial action from the Hopf algebra perspective, what is a watermark of partiality is the lack of the structure of module. this discussion will be resumed later, when we are dealing with partial representations and partial modules.

Example 3.4. [6] Let H be a bialgebra, B be a left H -module algebra and $e = e^2 \in B$ be an idempotent central in B . One can define a partial action of H on the ideal $A = eB$ by

$$h \cdot (eb) = e(h \triangleright (eb)), \quad \text{for } b \in B.$$

Actually, as we shall see later, the globalization theorem states that every partial action of a Hopf algebra is of this type.

Example 3.5. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra, then every partial action of its universal enveloping algebra, $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is global [27].

Example 3.6. [5] Consider k a field and H a k -Hopf algebra, a symmetric partial action of H on the base field k is equivalent to a linear functional $\lambda : H \rightarrow k$ satisfying

- (i) $\lambda(1_H) = 1$.
- (ii) $\lambda(h) = \lambda(h_{(1)})\lambda(h_{(2)})$.
- (iii) $\lambda(h)\lambda(k) = \lambda(h_{(1)})\lambda(h_{(2)}k) = \lambda(h_{(1)}k)\lambda(h_{(2)})$

For the group algebra kG , denoting $\lambda(\delta_g)$ simply by λ_g , for every $g \in G$, we have the conditions

$$\lambda_e = 1, \quad \lambda_g = \lambda_g \lambda_g, \quad \lambda_g \lambda_h = \lambda_{gh} \lambda_g.$$

The second equality tells us that the only possibilities are $\lambda_g = 0$ or $\lambda_g = 1$. Consider the following subset

$$H = \{g \in G \mid \lambda_g = 1\}.$$

One can easily check that H is a subgroup of G . Therefore, partial actions of kG over the base field k are parametrized by subgroups of G .

For a finite group G the partial G gradings over the base field k are related to symmetric partial actions of $(kG)^*$ on k . The Hopf algebra $(kG)^*$ is the dual of the group algebra of G whose generated as algebras by the complete set of orthogonal idempotents $\{p_g\}_{g \in G}$ and whose co-multiplication, co-unit and atipode are given, respectively, by

$$\Delta(p_g) = \sum_{h \in G} p_h \otimes p_{h^{-1}g} = \sum_{h \in G} p_{gh^{-1}} \otimes p_h, \quad \epsilon(p_g) = \delta_{g,e}, \quad S(p_g) = p_{g^{-1}}.$$

In terms of the functional λ the partial action has the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{g \in G} \lambda(p_g) &= 1, \\ \lambda(p_g) &= \sum_{h \in G} \lambda(p_h) \lambda(p_{h^{-1}} g) = \sum_{h \in G} \lambda(p_{gh^{-1}}) \lambda(p_h), \\ \lambda(p_g) \lambda(p_h) &= \lambda(p_{gh^{-1}}) \lambda(p_h) = \lambda(p_h) \lambda(p_{h^{-1}g}). \end{aligned}$$

Again, define

$$H = \{g \in G \mid \lambda(p_g) \neq 0\}$$

and we can prove also that H is a subgroup of G and $\lambda(p_g) = \lambda(p_e)$ for every $g \in H$, this leads to $\lambda(p_g) = \frac{1}{|H|}$ for $g \in H$ and $\lambda(p_g) = 0$ for $g \notin H$.

As one last example of this type, consider the Sweedler's four dimensional algebra $H_4 = \langle 1, g, x \mid g^2 = 1, x^2 = 0, gx = -xg \rangle$, with Hopf structure given by

$$\Delta(g) = g \otimes g, \quad \Delta(x) = x \otimes 1 + g \otimes x, \quad \epsilon(g) = 1, \quad \epsilon(x) = 0, \quad S(g) = g, \quad S(x) = -gx.$$

In order to classify the partial actions of H_4 on the base field k we end up with the following relations on the functional λ :

$$\lambda(g) = \lambda(g) \lambda(g), \quad \lambda(g) \lambda(x) = \lambda(g) \lambda(gx) = 0, \quad \lambda(gx) \lambda(gx) = \lambda(x) \lambda(gx).$$

The first equation gives us two possibilities: Either $\lambda(g) = 1$ and consequently $\lambda(x) = \lambda(gx) = 0$, which is in fact a global action, or $\lambda(g) = 0$ and $\lambda(x) = \lambda(gx) = \lambda$, these are all possibilities of partial H_4 actions on k .

There exists several results concerning partially G graded algebras, that is partial actions of $(kG)^*$, in references [6] and [11]. The following theorem gives us a classification of partially $\mathbb{Z}_2$ graded algebras.

Theorem 3.7. [11] *Let k be a field of characteristic not equal to 2, let C_2 be the cyclic group $C_2 = \langle e, g \mid g^2 = e \rangle$ and let H be the dual group algebra $(kC_2)^*$. Then an algebra A is partially $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded algebra if and only if A can be written as a k vector space as a direct sum decomposition $A = A_0 \oplus A_1 \oplus A_{\frac{1}{2}}$ such that*

- (1) $B = A_0 \oplus A_1$ is a subalgebra of A which is $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded by $B_{\bar{0}} = A_0$ and $B_{\bar{1}} = A_1$;
- (2) $A_{\frac{1}{2}}$ is an ideal of A ;
- (3) The unit of A decomposes as $1_A = u + v$ where u, v are orthogonal idempotents, $u \in A_0$ and $v \in A_{\frac{1}{2}}$;
- (4) $uAv = vAu = 0$ and therefore $A \simeq B \times A_{\frac{1}{2}}$ as an algebra.

Example 3.8. In reference [9], it was introduced a nontrivial example of a (twisted) partial action coming from algebraic groups. Let k be an isomorphic copy of the complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$ and let $\mathbb{S}^1 \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be the circle group, i.e. the group of all complex roots of 1. Let, furthermore, G be an arbitrary finite group seen as a subgroup of S_n for some n . Taking the action of $G \subseteq S_n$ on $(k\mathbb{S}^1)^{\otimes n}$ by permutation of roots, consider the smash product Hopf algebra

$$H'_1 = (k\mathbb{S}^1)^{\otimes n} \rtimes kG,$$

which is co-commutative. Let $X \subseteq G$ be an arbitrary subset which is not a subgroup and consider the subalgebra $\tilde{A} = (\sum_{g \in X} p_g)(kG)^* \subseteq (kG)^*$, and define the commutative algebra $A' = k[t, t^{-1}]^{\otimes n} \otimes \tilde{A}$.

In order to simplify the notation, write

$$t_i = 1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1 \otimes t \otimes 1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1, \quad (5)$$

where t belongs to the i -copy of $k[t, t^{-1}]$, then, we have the elementary monomials in $k[t, t^{-1}]$, given by

$$t_1^{k_1} \dots t_n^{k_n} = t^{k_1} \otimes \dots \otimes t^{k_n}.$$

In its turn, the generators of $(k\mathbb{S}^1)^{\otimes n}$ can be written in terms of the roots of unit χ_θ in the following way

$$\chi_{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n} = \chi_{\theta_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \chi_{\theta_n} \in (k\mathbb{S}^1)^{\otimes n}, \quad (6)$$

where $\chi_{\theta_i} \in \mathbb{S}^1$ is the root of 1 whose angular coordinate is θ_i and which belongs to the i th-factor of $(k\mathbb{S}^1)^{\otimes n}$.

Then with the notation established in (5) and (6), the formula

$$(\chi_{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n} \otimes u_g) \cdot (t_1^{k_1} \dots t_n^{k_n} \otimes p_s) = \begin{cases} \exp\{i \sum_{j=1}^n k_j \theta_{gs^{-1}(j)}\} t_1^{k_1} \dots t_n^{k_n} \otimes p_{sg^{-1}}, & \text{if } s^{-1}g \in X, \\ 0 & \text{if } s^{-1}g \notin X, \end{cases}$$

where $g \in G$ and $s \in X \subseteq G$, gives a left partial action $\cdot : H'_1 \times A' \rightarrow A'$.

More nontrivial examples of partial actions and twisted partial actions of Hopf algebras can be found in [5, 8, 9, 10].

One of the most important constructions related to partial actions of Hopf algebras is the partial smash product. Given a partial action $\cdot : H \otimes A \rightarrow A$ of a bialgebra H over an algebra A , one can endow the tensor product $A \otimes H$ with an associative product,

$$(a \otimes h)(b \otimes k) = a(h_{(1)} \cdot b) \otimes h_{(2)}k.$$

However, this algebra is not unital, the element $1_A \otimes 1_H$ works as a left unit, but not a right one. Thus, we have to restrict to the subspace

$$\underline{A \# H} = (A \otimes H)(1_A \otimes 1_H) = \text{span}\{a \# h = a(h_{(1)} \cdot 1_A) \otimes h_{(2)} \mid a \in A, h \in H\}.$$

It is easy to see that this algebra will be associative and unital. For the case of H being the group algebra kG , the partial smash product coincides with the partial skew group algebra presented in previous section. The role played by the partial smash product in the theory can be better grasped in the following two sections.

For sake of brevity, we shall only mention some constructions related to partial (co)actions of Hopf algebras without going into details.

- (1) The twisted partial actions of Hopf algebras by partial 2 cocycles were treated in [9]. There one can construct a generalization of the partial smash product, namely, the partial crossed product. Again, symmetric versions of these twisted partial actions are better behaved and from them it is possible to derive many properties, for example, one can extend the concept of a cleft extension for the partial case and we can prove that these partially cleft extensions are in one-to-one correspondence with partial crossed products. Lately, the partial crossed products were placed in a broader context of weak crossed products in [47]. The globalization of twisted partial actions of Hopf algebras was treated in [10].
- (2) Partial actions of Hopf algebras on k -linear categories appeared in [5]. There the concept of a partial smash product of a category by a Hopf algebra appeared. Many nontrivial examples were given, in particular the question about partial G graduations first took place in this context. The

globalization of a partial action of a Hopf algebra on a k -linear category was done in the same paper and the same results of [6] continued valid for categories.

- (3) The partial versions of an H -module coalgebra and H -comodule coalgebra were presented in [15], even though we acknowledge that a first version of partial comodule coalgebras first appeared in [57]. A partial action of a co-commutative Hopf algebra H on a commutative algebra endows the partial smash product $\underline{A \# H}$ with the structure of a Hopf algebroid over A . Similarly, given a right partial coaction of a Hopf algebra H over an algebra A defines a canonical A coring

$$\underline{A \otimes H} = (A \otimes H)\rho(1_A),$$

this is well known from the theory of partial entwining structures [27]. In the case when both H and A are commutative, then $\underline{A \otimes H}$ becomes a commutative Hopf algebroid. This relationship between partial coactions of commutative Hopf algebras on commutative algebras and commutative Hopf algebroids can be rephrased in a dual version of the Kellendonk-Lawson's theorem relating partial actions of groups on sets and groupoids [51]. Finally, given a left partial H -comodule coalgebra C , one can define a new coalgebra $\underline{C \blacktriangleleft H}$, the partial co-smash coproduct, which can be seen as a dual of the partial smash product.

4. GLOBALIZATION

As we have seen in section 2, one can create a partial action of a group G out of a global one by restricting to a subset. More precisely, given an action $\beta : G \rightarrow \text{Bij}(Y)$ and a subset $X \subseteq Y$, for each $g \in G$ define the subsets

$$X_g = X \cap \beta_g(X)$$

and the maps $\widehat{\beta}_g = \beta_g|_{X_{g^{-1}}}$, these data define a partial action of G on X . Conversely, given a partial action $\alpha = (\{X_g\}_{g \in G}, \{\alpha_g : X_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow X_g\}_{g \in G})$, one may ask whether is it possible to obtain α from a global action on a bigger set. This is the problem of globalization. Of course there can be many globalizations for the same partial action, then we have to put a minimality condition in order to obtain a more precise definition.

Definition 4.1. *A globalization of a partial action α of a group G on a set X is a triple (Y, β, φ) , in which*

- (GL1) *Y is a set and $\beta : G \rightarrow \text{Bij}(Y)$ is an action of G on Y .*
- (GL2) *$\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is an injective map.*
- (GL3) *For each $g \in G$, $\varphi(X_g) = \varphi(X) \cap \beta_g(\varphi(X))$.*
- (GL4) *For each $x \in X_{g^{-1}}$, we have $\varphi(\alpha_g(x)) = \beta_g(\varphi(x))$.*
- (GL5) *A globalization is said to be admissible if $Y = \cup_{g \in G} \beta_g(\varphi(X))$.*

Let us make some considerations which are pertinent about the previous definition. First, the axioms (GL3) and (GL4) tell us that the map φ is a morphism in $\underline{Psets}_G$ between the partial action α on X and the partial action $\widehat{\beta}$ on $\varphi(X) \subseteq Y$ induced by the global action β . Second, as the map φ is injective by axiom (GL2),

in fact we have an isomorphism between these two partial actions. Finally, the admissibility axiom (GL5) imposes a minimality condition on the globalization, this is required in order to guarantee uniqueness of the globalization up to isomorphism.

The first globalization theorems appeared in F. Abadie's PhD thesis [1] and afterwards in reference [2], of the same author.

Theorem 4.2. [2] *There exists an admissible globalization for a given a partial action α of a group G on a set X .*

Proof. The idea of the construction of the globalization is to establish an equivalence relation in the set $G \times X$,

$$(g, x) \sim (h, y), \quad \text{if } x \in X_{g^{-1}h}, \quad \text{and } \alpha_{h^{-1}g}(x) = y.$$

The quotient $X^e = (G \times X) / \sim$ is the total space and the global action is given by

$$\beta_g[(h, x)] = [(gh, x)],$$

where $[(h, x)]$ is the class of the pair (h, x) in X^e . It is easy to see that this action is well defined. The inclusion map $\varphi : X \rightarrow X^e$ is given by $\varphi(x) = [(e, x)]$. The verification that the axioms (GL1) - (GL5) of definition 4.1 are satisfied is straightforward. $\square$

Some difficulties arise when we are dealing with partial actions with extra conditions, like partial actions of groups on topological spaces or partial actions of groups on algebras. For the topological case, the first problem arises when we consider the globalized space X^e as it is a quotient, even when we start with a partial action on a Hausdorff space, in general we end up with a non Hausdorff one. For the example of the partial action of $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on the complex plane by Möbius transformations, Kellendonk and Lawson proved that the globalized space with its quotient topology coincides with the one point compactification of the complex plane, the Riemann sphere [51], as anyone could expect. Now, consider the example 2.3, describing the flux of a vector field on a differentiable manifold M , which corresponds to a partial action of the additive group $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ on M . The globalization in this case would produce a non Hausdorff manifold, in order to characterize the new global action as a complete flux of a new vector field on a manifold, perhaps some techniques coming noncommutative geometry would be needed. Another form to overcome the non-Hausdorffness of the globalized space is to consider the groupoid of the equivalence relation as a subset of $(G \times X) \times (G \times X)$ instead of considering the quotient $(G \times X) / \sim$ [43], this approach has some advantages, once it introduces techniques coming from groupoids in order to analyse the globalization.

For the case of partial actions of groups on algebras, the definition 4.1 of a globalization need some few modifications

Definition 4.3. [30] *An enveloping action (globalization) of a partial action α of a group G on an algebra A is a triple (B, β, φ) , in which*

- (GL1) *B is an algebra and $\beta : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(B)$ is an action of G on B by automorphisms.*
- (GL2) *$\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is an algebra monomorphism and $\varphi(A)$ is an ideal in B .*
- (GL3) *For each $g \in G$, $\varphi(A_g) = \varphi(A) \cap \beta_g(\varphi(A))$.*
- (GL4) *For each $x \in A_{g^{-1}}$, we have $\varphi(\alpha_g(x)) = \beta_g(\varphi(x))$.*
- (GL5) *A globalization is said to be admissible if $B = \sum_{g \in G} \beta_g(\varphi(A))$.*

Once $\varphi(A) \trianglelefteq B$ then for every $g \in G$ the subset $\beta_g(A)$ is an ideal of B as well. The main results about globalization of partial actions of groups on algebras can be resumed in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.4. [30] *Let α be a partial action of a group G on an algebra A , then*

- (1) *The partial action α admits enveloping action if, and only if, the partial action is unital, that is, each ideal A_g is generated by a central idempotent $1_g \in A$ and each α_g is a unital isomorphism between the algebras $A_{g^{-1}}$ and A_g . Moreover, this enveloping action is unique up to isomorphism.*
- (2) *If α admits an enveloping action (B, β, φ) , then the partial skew group algebra $A \rtimes_\alpha G$ is Morita equivalent to the skew group algebra $B \rtimes_\beta G$.*

The globalization theorem 4.4 revealed itself to be a useful tool in the algebraic theory of partial actions. A remarkable example comes from the theory of partial Galois extensions [34]. Given a unital algebra S let α be a unital partial action of a finite group G on S . Define the trace map of the partial action $t_{S/R}^{par} : S \rightarrow R$, in which $R = S^\alpha$ is the subalgebra of partial invariants, as

$$t_{S/R}^{par}(s) = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g(s 1_{g^{-1}})$$

Let (S', β, φ) be the enveloping of the unital partial action α . As the group is finite, the algebra S' is unital. Let $R' = S'^\beta$ be the subalgebra of invariants of S' , and $t_{S'/R'} : S' \rightarrow R'$ be the classical trace given by

$$t_{S'/R'}(x) = \sum_{g \in G} \beta_g(x).$$

It is possible to relate the partial trace and the global trace and, in particular, one can conclude that $t_{S/R}^{par}$ is onto R if, and only if, $t_{S'/R'}$ is onto R' . Moreover, one can prove that S is a partial Galois extension over R if, and only if S' is a Galois extension over R' . These results allows one to generalize the classical theorems of Galois extensions of commutative rings [28] to the case of Galois extensions by partial actions.

Globalization theorems for partial actions of Hopf algebras first appeared in [6]. The very notion of an enveloping action, or globalization, needed to be slightly modified. Different from the case of groups, the translated of ideals need not to be ideals at all, then many tricks involving ideals in the globalization theorem for partial actions of groups were no longer possible in the Hopf case.

Definition 4.5. [6] *An enveloping action (globalization) of a symmetric partial action $\cdot : H \otimes A \rightarrow A$ of a Hopf algebra H on a unital algebra A is a triple $(B, \triangleright, \varphi)$, in which*

- (GL1) *B is a left H module algebra, with the action of H on B is given by $\triangleright : H \otimes B \rightarrow B$.*
- (GL2) *$\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is an algebra monomorphism and $\varphi(1_A)$ is a central idempotent in B , making $\varphi(A)$ is a unital ideal in B .*
- (GL3) *For each $a \in A$ and $h \in H$, we have $\varphi(h \cdot a) = \varphi(1_A)(h \triangleright \varphi(a))$.*
- (GL4) *A globalization is said to be admissible if $B = H \triangleright \varphi(A)$.*
- (GL5) *A globalization is said to be minimal if, for any left H submodule $M \leq B$, the condition $\varphi(1_A)M = 0$ implies that $M = 0$.*

The term “algebra monomorphism” in axiom (GL2) may lead to some confusion, because we are not assuming that $\varphi(1_A) = 1_B$ but only that $\varphi(1_A)$ is a central idempotent in B . The axiom (GL3) states that the map φ is a morphism of left partial H module algebras between A and $\varphi(A)$ with the induced partial action coming from the global action $\triangleright : H \otimes B \rightarrow B$. The axiom (GL4) of an admissible globalization is not enough to guarantee the minimality of the enveloping action and consequently the uniqueness of the globalization up to isomorphism, one needs to impose also the axiom (GL5), which is automatically valid in the group case. Again we are dealing with symmetric partial actions, although there is a nonsymmetric version of the definition of globalization in which $\varphi(A)$ is only required to be a right B ideal and $\varphi(1_A)$ is only an idempotent, not necessarily central in B .

The main results about enveloping actions of partial actions of Hopf algebras can be put into a single theorem:

Theorem 4.6. [6] *Let $\cdot : H \otimes A \rightarrow A$ be a symmetric partial action of a Hopf algebra H then*

- (1) *There is a unique minimal enveloping action $(B, \triangleright, \varphi)$.*
- (2) *If $(C, \blacktriangleright, \theta)$ is another admissible (not necessarily minimal) enveloping action of A then, there is a surjective morphism of H module algebras $\Phi : C \rightarrow B$ such that $\Phi \circ \theta = \varphi$. If $(C, \blacktriangleright, \theta)$ is minimal, then it is isomorphic to $(B, \triangleright, \varphi)$.*
- (3) *The partial smash product $\underline{A \# H}$ is Morita equivalent to the smash product $B \# H$.*

Proof. The techniques for proving these results were largely inspired in the steps of the proof of the theorem 4.4 of globalization for partial actions of groups. we are going to do only a sketch of the construction of the enveloping action.

Consider the k -algebra $\text{Hom}_k(H, A)$ with the convolution product and define there a left H action by

$$(h \triangleright f)(k) = f(kh).$$

This endows $\text{Hom}_k(H, A)$ with a structure of a left H -module algebra. Now define the linear map $\varphi : A \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(H, A)$ as

$$\varphi(a)(h) = h \cdot a$$

It is easy to prove that φ is injective and multiplicative. Finally, take B as the subalgebra of $\text{Hom}_k(H, A)$ generated by elements of the form $h \triangleright \varphi(a)$ for every $h \in H$ and $a \in A$. This subalgebra is by construction an H submodule algebra and the fact that $\varphi(A)$ is an ideal of B follows from the identities

$$\varphi(a)(h \triangleright \varphi(b)) = \varphi(a(h \cdot b)), \quad (h \triangleright \varphi(b))\varphi(a) = \varphi((h \cdot b)a).$$

In fact, these identities also prove that $\varphi(1_A)$ is a central idempotent in B . Moreover, the algebra B coincides with the subspace $H \triangleright \varphi(A)$, this follows from the identity

$$(h \triangleright \varphi(a))(k \triangleright \varphi(b)) = h_{(1)} \triangleright \varphi(a(S(h_{(2)})k \cdot b)).$$

This gives the basic construction of the so called “standard enveloping action”, the verification of the axioms (GL1)-(GL5) of definition 4.5 are straightforward. The proof of items (2) and (3) can be found in [6]. $\square$

An example of use of the globalization theorem can be found in [8], in which the analogous of the Blattner-Montgomery theorem [19] was proved for the partial

smash product for a finite dimensional Hopf algebra H . This generalizes the result obtained by C. Lomp in [37], which consists of a generalization of the Cohen-Montgomery theorem for the partial skew group algebra. In the classical case, we have the isomorphism,

$$(A \# H) \# H^* \cong A \otimes \text{End}_k(H),$$

in which H is a finite dimensional Hopf algebra and A is a left H module algebra. In the partial case, however, given a finite dimensional Hopf algebra H and a left partial H module algebra A , we don't have an isomorphism between the double smash product $(A \# H) \# H^*$ and the tensor product $A \otimes \text{End}_k(H)$, the task is to describe the kernel and the image. For this purpose, we use a not necessarily minimal enveloping action $(B, \triangleright, \theta)$ such that B is a unital algebra. There is a natural inclusion

$$\iota : (A \# H) \# H^* \rightarrow (B \# H) \# H^*,$$

and there is the classical isomorphism

$$\Phi : (B \# H) \# H^* \rightarrow B \otimes \text{End}_k(H).$$

With these auxiliary maps, one can characterize the kernel and the image of the morphism

$$\tilde{\Phi} : (A \# H) \# H^* \rightarrow A \otimes \text{End}_k(H),$$

which is the restriction of the map Φ above.

5. PARTIAL REPRESENTATIONS AND PARTIAL MODULES

As we have seen before, in order to define a partial action of a group G over a set X , we have to assign to each element $g \in G$ a bijection $\alpha_g : X_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow X_g$ between two subsets of X such that their compositions, wherever they are defined, should be compatible with the group operation. Given the set X , one can define the set of partially defined bijections on it.

$$\mathcal{I}(X) = \{f : \text{Dom}(f) \subseteq X \rightarrow \text{Im}(f) \subseteq X \mid f \text{ is a bijection} \}$$

Two elements $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{I}(X)$ are composable if $\text{Im}(f_1) \subseteq \text{Dom}(f_2)$ and this defines a new element $f_2 \circ f_1 \in \mathcal{I}(X)$ whose domain is $\text{Dom}(f_2 \circ f_1) = f_1^{-1}(\text{Im}(f_1) \cap \text{Dom}(f_2))$ and image $\text{Im}(f_2 \circ f_1) = f_2(\text{Im}(f_1) \cap \text{Dom}(f_2))$. This composition operation endows $\mathcal{I}(X)$ with the structure of an inverse semigroup. An inverse semigroup is a set S with an associative operation $\cdot : S \times S \rightarrow S$ such that, for each $s \in S$ there exists a unique element $s^* \in S$ satisfying $s \cdot s^* \cdot s = s$ and $s^* \cdot s \cdot s^* = s^*$. In fact, $\mathcal{I}(X)$ is an inverse monoid, because the element $\text{Id}_X \in \mathcal{I}(X)$ is the neutral element with respect to the composition, moreover, the inverse monoid $\mathcal{I}(X)$ also has a zero element which is the empty map $\emptyset : \emptyset \subseteq X \rightarrow \emptyset \subseteq X$.

Partial group actions can be rephrased into the theory of inverse semigroups [36], which provide a more general and more suitable language to treat partial actions. A partial action of a group G on a set X can be viewed as a map $\alpha : G \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(X)$ such that $\text{Dom}(\alpha(g)) = X_{g^{-1}}$ and $\text{Im}(\alpha(g)) = X_g$. One can easily verify that the map α satisfy the following identities:

$$\alpha(e) = \text{Id}_X, \tag{7}$$

$$\alpha(g)\alpha(h)\alpha(h^{-1}) = \alpha(gh)\alpha(h^{-1}), \quad \forall g, h \in G, \tag{8}$$

$$\alpha(g^{-1})\alpha(g)\alpha(h) = \alpha(g^{-1})\alpha(gh), \quad \forall g, h \in G, \tag{9}$$

the map α is called a partial representation of G . In general, we can define a partial representation of a group G on an inverse semigroup S as a map $\pi : G \rightarrow S$ satisfying the identities (7), (8) and (9).

Every group is an inverse semigroup, then we could expect a partial action, or a partial representation, to be a morphism between inverse semigroups, but what we find instead is a composition law which works only in the presence of a “witness” on the left or on the right. In order to restore a morphism of inverse semigroups associated to a partial representation, there is a universal semigroup constructed upon the group G , called Exel’s semigroup [39]

$$S(G) = \langle [g] \mid g \in G, [e] = 1, [g][h][h^{-1}] = [gh][h^{-1}], [g^{-1}][g][h] = [g^{-1}][gh] \rangle.$$

Using the defining relations of $S(G)$ we conclude that

$$[g][g^{-1}][g] = [gg^{-1}][g] = [e][g] = [g],$$

therefore, $S(G)$ is indeed an inverse semigroup. The map $[\] : G \rightarrow S(G)$ is already a partial representation of G on $S(G)$ and $S(G)$ satisfies the following universal property: Given an inverse semigroup S and a partial representation $\pi : G \rightarrow S$, there exists a unique morphism of semigroups $\hat{\pi} : S(G) \rightarrow S$ such that $\pi = \hat{\pi} \circ [\]$. Kellendonk and Lawson [51], proved that Exel’s inverse semigroup can be characterized as the Birget-Rhodes expansion of the group G . Given a group G , the Birget-Rhodes expansion [17, 18, 56] is the inverse semigroup with underlying set given by

$$\tilde{G}^R = \{(A, g) \in \mathcal{P}(G) \times G \mid e \in A, g \in A\},$$

and with the operation

$$(A, g)(B, h) = (A \cup gB, gh).$$

The isomorphism between $S(G)$ and $\tilde{G}^R$ is performed by the map $\phi : S(G) \rightarrow \tilde{G}^R$, defined as $\phi([g]) = (\{e, g\}, g)$. This morphism is created out of a partial representation of G using the universal property of $S(G)$, it is easy to see that this map is injective, and the surjectivity comes from the standard presentation of an element of $S(G)$. We shall return to this point later, while we discuss the linearized version of this semigroup, the partial group algebra.

Let us move further to the linearized case to consider partial representations of a group G on algebras and partial G modules.

Definition 5.1. [30] *A partial representation of a group G in an algebra B is a map $\pi : G \rightarrow B$ such that*

- (1) $\pi(e) = 1_B$,
- (2) $\pi(g)\pi(h)\pi(h^{-1}) = \pi(gh)\pi(h^{-1}), \quad \forall g, h \in G;$
- (3) $\pi(g^{-1})\pi(g)\pi(h) = \pi(g^{-1})\pi(gh), \quad \forall g, h \in G.$

Let $\pi : G \rightarrow B$ and $\pi' : G \rightarrow B'$ be two partial representations of G . A morphism of partial representations is an algebra morphism $f : B \rightarrow B'$ such that $\pi' = f \circ \pi$.

The category of partial representations of G , denoted as $\underline{\text{ParRep}}_G$ is the category whose objects are pairs (B, π) , where B is a unital k -algebra and $\pi : G \rightarrow B$ is a partial representation of G in B , and whose morphisms are morphisms of partial representations.

Some remarks about the previous definition are pertinent in this moment. Evidently, representations of G are automatically partial representations. And if one puts an extra condition

$$\pi(g)\pi(g^{-1}) = 1_B, \quad \forall g \in G,$$

then the partial representation π turns out to be a usual representation of the group G on the algebra B . As classical representations of a group G are directly related with G -modules, one can use the concept of a partial representation of G to define partial G -modules. A partial G module is a pair (X, π) where X is a k -module and $\pi : G \rightarrow \text{End}_k(X)$ is a partial representation of G . A morphism of partial G modules $f : (X, \pi) \rightarrow (Y, \pi')$ is a k -linear map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying $\pi'(g) \circ f = f \circ \pi(g)$ for all $g \in G$. We will denote by $\underline{ParMod}_G$ the category of partial G modules.

Example 5.2. *Given a unital partial action $(\{A_g\}_{g \in G}, \{\alpha_g\}_{g \in G})$ of G on a unital algebra A , we define a partial representation*

$$\begin{aligned} \pi : G &\rightarrow \text{End}_k(A) \\ g &\mapsto \pi(g) \end{aligned}$$

given by

$$\pi(g)(a) = \alpha_g(a1_{g^{-1}}).$$

Example 5.3. *Given a unital partial action α of G on a unital algebra A , the partial skew group algebra provides another canonical partial representation*

$$\begin{aligned} \pi : G &\rightarrow A \rtimes_\alpha G \\ g &\mapsto 1_g \delta_g \end{aligned}$$

Example 5.4. *Given a partial G module (X, π) , this structure induces a partial action of G on the k module X . Indeed, because π is a partial representation, then the operators $P_g = \pi(g)\pi(g^{-1})$ are projections. Take the domains $X_g = P_g(X)$ and the partially defined morphisms $\alpha_g : X_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow X_g$ as $\alpha_g = \pi(g)|_{X_{g^{-1}}}$. These data define a partial action of G on X .*

Conversely, given a k module X and a partial action of G on X in which every domain X_g is a direct summand, that is, for every $g \in G$ there exists a projection $P_g : X \rightarrow X$ such that $P_g(X) = X_g$, then one can define a partial representation $\pi : G \rightarrow \text{End}_k(X)$, by $\pi(g)(x) = \alpha_g(P_{g^{-1}}(x))$.

It is well known in classical representation theory of groups that any representation ρ of a group G on a k -module M corresponds to an algebra morphism from the group algebra kG to the algebra $\text{End}_k(M)$. For the case of partial representations of a group G , one can define a new object associated to G in order to obtain an analogous result. The “partial group algebra” $k_{par}G$ is the unital algebra generated by symbols $[g]$ for each $g \in G$ satisfying the relations

$$[e] = 1, \quad [g][h][h^{-1}] = [gh][h^{-1}], \quad [g^{-1}][g][h] = [g^{-1}][gh] \quad \forall g, h \in G.$$

It is easy to see that the map

$$\begin{aligned} [] : G &\rightarrow k_{par}G \\ g &\mapsto [g] \end{aligned}$$

is a partial representation of G in the algebra $k_{par}G$. This algebra can be easily recognized as the algebra $kS(G)$, which is the linearized version of Exel’s inverse

semigroup $S(G)$. The partial group algebra factorizes partial representations of the group G by algebra morphisms as stated in the following proposition;

Proposition 5.5. [31] *Each partial representation $\pi : G \rightarrow B$ is associated to a unique algebra morphism $\hat{\pi} : k_{par}G \rightarrow B$, such that $\pi = \hat{\pi} \circ [\cdot]$. Conversely, given any algebra morphism $\phi : k_{par}G \rightarrow B$, one can define a partial representation $\pi_\phi : G \rightarrow B$ such that $\phi = \hat{\pi}_\phi$.*

The most remarkable properties of the partial group algebra $k_{par}G$ come from its rich internal structure. First, let us highlight an important commutative subalgebra of the partial group algebra. For each $g \in G$ define the elements $\varepsilon_g = [g][g^{-1}] \in k_{par}G$, then one verifies easily the following identities

$$\begin{aligned} [g]\varepsilon_h &= \varepsilon_{gh}[g], & \forall g, h \in G, \\ \varepsilon_g &= \varepsilon_g\varepsilon_g, & \forall g \in G, \\ \varepsilon_g\varepsilon_h &= \varepsilon_h\varepsilon_g, & \forall g, h \in G. \end{aligned}$$

Then define the subalgebra $A \subseteq k_{par}G$ generated by the elements ε_g . This subalgebra is commutative and it is generated by central idempotents, then there is a very natural unital partial action of G on A given by the domains $A_g = \varepsilon_g A$ and the partially defined isomorphisms $\alpha_g : A_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow A_g$ given by $\alpha_g(a) = [g]a[g^{-1}]$, in which $a = \varepsilon_{g^{-1}}a' \in A_{g^{-1}}$, more explicitly

$$\alpha_g(\varepsilon_{g^{-1}}\varepsilon_{h_1} \dots \varepsilon_{h_n}) = \varepsilon_{gh_1} \dots \varepsilon_{gh_n}\varepsilon_g.$$

Then, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 5.6. [30] *Given a group G , there is a partial action of G on the above defined commutative algebra $A \subseteq k_{par}G$, such that $k_{par}G \cong A \rtimes_\alpha G$.*

One of the consequences of this theorem is that a typical element $x \in k_{par}G$ can be written as a linear combination of monomials of the form $\varepsilon_{h_1} \dots \varepsilon_{h_n}[g]$.

For a finite group G , the partial group algebra $k_{par}G$ has another characterization as a groupoid algebra. Consider the set

$$\Gamma(G) = \{(g, A) \in \mathcal{P}_0(G) \times G \mid g^{-1} \in A\},$$

where $\mathcal{P}_0(G)$ denotes the subset of $\mathcal{P}(G)$ of the subsets of G containing the neutral element $e \in G$. This set can be endowed with a structure of a groupoid with the operation in $\Gamma(G)$ defined as

$$(g, A)(h, B) = \begin{cases} (gh, B), & \text{if } A = hB \\ \text{---} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The source and target maps are respectively, $s(g, A) = (e, A)$ and $t(g, A) = (e, gA)$, and the inverse is given by $(g, A)^{-1} = (g^{-1}, gA)$. The partial group algebra $k_{par}G$ and the algebra of the groupoid $\Gamma(G)$, denoted by $k\Gamma(G)$, are isomorphic [31]. The isomorphism is constructed upon a partial representation of G , $\lambda_p : G \rightarrow k\Gamma(G)$, given by

$$\lambda_p(g) = \sum_{A \ni g^{-1}} (g, A),$$

and using the universal property of $k_{par}G$. If $|G| = n$ then the dimension of $k_{par}G$ is $d = 2^{n-2}(n+1)$, and moreover, this algebra can be expressed as direct sum of matrix algebras. Indeed, the connected components of the graph of the groupoid $\Gamma(G)$ unveils the richness of the internal structure of the algebra $k_{par}G \cong k\Gamma(G)$.

Theorem 5.7. [31] *The groupoid algebra $k\Gamma(G)$ has the following decomposition*

$$k\Gamma(G) = \bigoplus_{\substack{H \leq G \\ 1 \leq m \leq (G:H)}} c_m(H) M_m(kH).$$

In which the sum is over the subgroups $H \leq G$ and integers m between 1 and the index of G over H , $(G:H)$, the matrix rings are over the group algebras kH and the multiplicities of direct summands of matrix subalgebras are given by the recursive formula

$$c_m(H) = \frac{1}{m} \left(\binom{(G:H)-1}{m-1} - m \sum_{\substack{H < B \leq G \\ (B:H) \mid m}} \frac{c_{\frac{m}{(B:H)}}(B)}{(B:H)} \right)$$

In particular, if k is a field and $\text{char}(k) \nmid |G|$, we conclude that the algebra $k\Gamma(G)$ is semisimple because in this case the matrix decomposition is in fact a Wedderburn-Artin decomposition.

For the case of Hopf algebras, a whole theory of partial representations was also developed in [11]. Partial representations of Hopf algebras revealed many aspects hitherto unexplored in the group case.

Definition 5.8. [11] *Let H be a Hopf k -algebra, and let B be a unital k -algebra. A partial representation of H in B is a linear map $\pi : H \rightarrow B$ such that*

- (PR1) $\pi(1_H) = 1_B$,
- (PR2) $\pi(h)\pi(k_{(1)})\pi(S(k_{(2)})) = \pi(hk_{(1)})\pi(S(k_{(2)}))$,
- (PR3) $\pi(h_{(1)})\pi(S(h_{(2)}))\pi(k) = \pi(h_{(1)})\pi(S(h_{(2)})k)$,
- (PR4) $\pi(h)\pi(S(k_{(1)}))\pi(k_{(2)}) = \pi(hS(k_{(1)}))\pi(k_{(2)})$,
- (PR5) $\pi(S(h_{(1)}))\pi(h_{(2)})\pi(k) = \pi(S(h_{(1)}))\pi(h_{(2)}k)$.

If (B, π) and (B', π') are two partial representations of H , then we say that an algebra morphism $f : B \rightarrow B'$ is a morphism of partial representations if $\pi' = f \circ \pi$.

The category whose objects are partial representations of H and whose morphisms are morphisms of partial representations is denoted by ParRep_H .

Evidently, every representation of H is a partial representation. Conversely, every partial representation satisfying one of the following conditions for every $h \in H$,

$$\pi(h_{(1)})\pi(S(h_{(2)})) = \epsilon(h)1_B, \quad \pi(S(h_{(1)}))\pi(h_{(2)}) = \epsilon(h)1_B,$$

is a representation of H . If the Hopf algebra H has invertible antipode then the items (PR3) and (PR4) of Definitionpartialrep can be rewritten respectively as

- (PR3) $\pi(S^{-1}(h_{(2)}))\pi(h_{(1)})\pi(k) = \pi(S^{-1}(h_{(2)}))\pi(h_{(1)}k)$,
- (PR4) $\pi(h)\pi(k_{(2)})\pi(S^{-1}(k_{(1)})) = \pi(hk_{(2)})\pi(S^{-1}(k_{(1)}))$.

If the Hopf algebra H is cocommutative, then the five items in the definition of a partial representation coalesce into (PR1), (PR2) and (PR5). In particular, for the case of H being the group algebra kG of a given group G , partial representations of kG coincide with partial representaions of the group G , previously defined. As in the case of groups, we consider a variant on the category of partial representations,

the category of partial H modules. Objects in this category are pairs (X, π) , where X is a k -module and $\pi : H \rightarrow \text{End}_k(X)$ is a partial representation. A morphism $f : (X, \pi) \rightarrow (Y, \pi')$ is a k -linear map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying $f \circ \pi(h) = \pi'(h) \circ f$ for all $h \in H$. This category of partial H modules is denoted as ${}_H\mathcal{M}^{par}$.

As paradigmatic examples of partial representations of a Hopf algebra, we have.

Example 5.9. [11] *Given a partial action $\cdot : H \otimes A \rightarrow A$, of a Hopf algebra H on a unital k algebra A , this defines a partial representation $\pi : H \rightarrow \text{End}_k(A)$ given by $\pi(h)(a) = h \cdot a$.*

Example 5.10. [11] *Given a partial action $\cdot : H \otimes A \rightarrow A$, of a Hopf algebra H on a unital k algebra A , there is a partial representation of H associated to the partial smash product $A \# H$, this is expressed as*

$$\pi(h) = 1_A \# h = (h_{(1)} \cdot 1_A) \otimes h_{(2)}.$$

As in the group case, there is a universal algebra which factorizes partial representations by algebra morphisms.

Definition 5.11. [11] *Let H be a Hopf algebra and let $T(H)$ be the tensor algebra of the vector space H . The partial Hopf algebra H_{par} is the quotient of $T(H)$ by the ideal I generated by elements of the form*

- (1) $1_H - 1_{T(H)}$;
- (2) $h \otimes k_{(1)} \otimes S(k_{(2)}) - hk_{(1)} \otimes S(k_{(2)})$, for all $h, k \in H$;
- (3) $h_{(1)} \otimes S(h_{(2)}) \otimes k - h_{(1)} \otimes S(h_{(2)})k$, for all $h, k \in H$;
- (4) $h \otimes S(k_{(1)}) \otimes k_{(2)} - hS(k_{(1)}) \otimes k_{(2)}$, for all $h, k \in H$;
- (5) $S(h_{(1)}) \otimes h_{(2)} \otimes k - S(h_{(1)}) \otimes h_{(2)}k$, for all $h, k \in H$.

Denoting the class of $h \in H$ in H_{par} by $[h]$, it is easy to see that the map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket : & H & \rightarrow & H_{par} \\ & h & \mapsto & [h] \end{array}$$

satisfy the following relations

- (1) $[\alpha h + \beta k] = \alpha[h] + \beta[k]$, for all $\alpha, \beta \in k$ and $h, k \in H$;
- (2) $[1_H] = 1_{H_{par}}$;
- (3) $[h][k_{(1)}][S(k_{(2)})] = [hk_{(1)}][S(k_{(2)})]$, for all $h, k \in H$;
- (4) $[h_{(1)}][S(h_{(2)})][k] = [h_{(1)}][S(h_{(2)})k]$, for all $h, k \in H$;
- (5) $[h][S(k_{(1)})][k_{(2)}] = [hS(k_{(1)})][k_{(2)}]$, for all $h, k \in H$;
- (6) $[S(h_{(1)})][h_{(2)}][k] = [S(h_{(1)})][h_{(2)}k]$, for all $h, k \in H$.

Therefore, the linear map $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$ is a partial representation of the Hopf algebra H on H_{par} .

The partial Hopf algebra H_{par} has the following universal property.

Theorem 5.12. [11] *For every partial representation $\pi : H \rightarrow B$ there is a unique morphism of algebras $\hat{\pi} : H_{par} \rightarrow B$ such that $\pi = \hat{\pi} \circ \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$. Conversely, given an algebra morphism $\phi : H_{par} \rightarrow B$, there exists a unique partial representation $\pi_\phi : H \rightarrow B$ such that $\phi = \hat{\pi}_\phi$.*

The identity $\text{Id}_H : H \rightarrow H$ is a partial representation of H on itself. This map factorizes through H_{par} generating an algebra morphism $\partial : H_{par} \rightarrow H$, given by $\partial([h^1] \dots [h^n]) = h^1 \dots h^n$. In particular H is a direct summand of H_{par} as a k module.

An immediate consequence of Theorem 5.12 is that any partial H module (X, π) , is an H_{par} module. Indeed, the partial representation $\pi : H \rightarrow \text{End}_k(X)$ factorizes through a morphism of algebras $\hat{\pi} : H_{par} \rightarrow \text{End}_k(X)$, which makes X into an H_{par} module. Therefore, the category ${}_H\mathcal{M}^{par}$ of partial H modules is isomorphic to the category ${}_{H_{par}}\mathcal{M}$ of H_{par} modules.

Example 5.13. For $H = kG$ the universal algebra H_{par} is the partial group algebra $k_{par}G$ explained before.

Example 5.14. If the Hopf algebra H is the universal enveloping algebra of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, that is $H = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$, then every partial representation of H is a morphism of algebras. Indeed, consider a partial representation $\pi : \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow B$, let us prove that for every pair of elements $h, k \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$, we have $\pi(h)\pi(k) = \pi(hk)$. This can be done by induction on the length of the monomials, $k = X_1 \dots X_n \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$, with $X_i \in \mathfrak{g}$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$ [11].

Example 5.15. [11] Let k be a field with characteristic not equal to 2, consider the cyclic group $C_2 = \langle e, g \mid g^2 = e \rangle$, let H be the dual group algebra $(kC_2)^*$. This Hopf algebra is generated by the basis elements p_e and p_g satisfying $1 = p_e + p_g$ and $p_e p_g = 0$. Let $x = [p_e]$, $y = [p_g]$ be the corresponding generators of H_{par} . The first defining equation for H_{par} yields $y = 1 - x$, in particular, we conclude that $xy = yx$ in H_{par} .

Since H is cocommutative the following four families of equations that define H_{par} are reduced to the first two of them. Writing the equations explicitly with respect to the basis elements one obtains eight equations; using the fact that $y = 1 - x$, all these equations are reduced to

$$x(2x - 1)(x - 1) = 0,$$

which implies that H_{par} is isomorphic to the 3-dimensional algebra

$$k[x]/\langle x(2x - 1)(x - 1) \rangle.$$

The “partial Hopf algebra” H_{par} has also a structure of a partial smash product. For each $h \in H$, define the elements

$$\varepsilon_h = [h_{(1)}][S(h_{(2)})], \quad \tilde{\varepsilon}_h = [S(h_{(1)})][h_{(2)}] \in H_{par}.$$

There are several identities involving these elements:

- (a) $\varepsilon_k[h] = [h_{(2)}]\varepsilon_{S^{-1}(h_{(1)})k}$;
- (b) $[h]\varepsilon_k = \varepsilon_{h_{(1)}k}[h_{(2)}]$;
- (c) $\varepsilon_{h_{(1)}}\varepsilon_{h_{(2)}} = \varepsilon_h$;
- (d) $\tilde{\varepsilon}_k[h] = [h_{(1)}]\tilde{\varepsilon}_{kh_{(2)}}$;
- (e) $[h]\tilde{\varepsilon}_k = \tilde{\varepsilon}_{kS^{-1}(h_{(2)})}[h_{(1)}]$;
- (f) $\tilde{\varepsilon}_{h_{(1)}}\tilde{\varepsilon}_{h_{(2)}} = \tilde{\varepsilon}_h$;
- (g) $\tilde{\varepsilon}_h\varepsilon_k = \varepsilon_k\tilde{\varepsilon}_h$.

Unlike the group case, we don't have a commutative subalgebra A of H_{par} but we have to define two subalgebras

$$A = \langle \varepsilon_h \mid h \in H \rangle, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{A} = \langle \tilde{\varepsilon}_h \mid h \in H \rangle,$$

which are not necessarily commutative, nevertheless, they commute mutually. With this subalgebra, one can prove a structural theorem about H_{par}

Theorem 5.16. *Let H be a Hopf algebra. There exists a partial action of H on the subalgebra $A \subseteq H_{par}$ such that $H_{par} \simeq \underline{A \# H}$.*

Proof. Let us do a very brief sketch of the proof. Basically, the partial action of H on A is given by

$$h \cdot (\varepsilon_{k^1} \dots \varepsilon_{k^n}) = [h_{(1)}] \varepsilon_{k^1} \dots \varepsilon_{k^n} [S(h_{(2)})] = \varepsilon_{h_{(1)}k^1} \dots \varepsilon_{h_{(n)}k^n} \varepsilon_{h_{(n+1)}}.$$

The morphisms $\Phi : H_{par} \rightarrow \underline{A \# H}$ and $\Psi : \underline{A \# H} \rightarrow H_{par}$ are obtained using the universal property of H_{par} and a universal property of the partial smash product, and they can be written as

$$\Phi([h^1] \dots [h^n]) = \left(\varepsilon_{h_{(1)}^1} \varepsilon_{h_{(2)}^1} h_{(1)}^2 \dots \varepsilon_{h_{(n)}^1} \dots h_{(1)}^n \right) \# h_{(n+1)}^1 \dots h_{(2)}^n,$$

and

$$\Psi((\varepsilon_{h^1} \dots \varepsilon_{h^n} \# k) = \varepsilon_{h^1} \dots \varepsilon_{h^n} [k].$$

□

With the help of this structural theorem, one can construct a surprising example of a finite dimensional Hopf algebra whose “partial Hopf algebra” is infinite dimensional, namely, the four dimensional Sweedler Hopf algebra

$$H_4 = \langle 1, g, x \mid g^2 = 1, x^2 = 0, gx = -xg \rangle$$

with Hopf algebra structure given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(g) &= g \otimes g & \epsilon(g) &= 1, & S(g) &= g; \\ \Delta(x) &= x \otimes 1 + g \otimes x, & \epsilon(x) &= 0, & S(x) &= -gx. \end{aligned}$$

The subalgebra $A \subseteq (H_4)_{par}$ is given by the infinite dimensional algebra

$$A = k[x, z] / \langle 2xz - z, 2x^2 - x \rangle$$

And then, the algebra $(H_4)_{par}$ can be written as the partial smash product

$$(H_4)_{par} = \underline{(k[x, z] / \langle 2xz - z, 2x^2 - x \rangle)} \# H_4.$$

Roughly speaking, the potential for partiality of a Hopf algebra is encoded in the size of the subalgebra $A \subseteq H_{par}$, even a finite dimensional Hopf algebra, as the Sweedler’s Hopf algebra, can have an infinity of non isomorphic partial modules [12].

One of the important features of the “partial Hopf algebra” H_{par} is that it has a structure of a Hopf algebroid over the base algebra A . This enables one to explore the monoidal structure of the category of partial H modules, which is isomorphic to the category of H_{par} modules. The main results relative to the Hopf algebroid structure of H_{par} and the monoidal structure of the category ${}_H \mathcal{M}^{par}$ are resumed in the following theorem.

Theorem 5.17. [11]

- (1) *Let H be a Hopf algebra with invertible antipode, then the algebra H_{par} has a structure of a Hopf algebroid over the base algebra A .*
- (2) *There is a functor $(_)_{par}$, between the category of Hopf algebras into the category of Hopf algebroids which associates to every Hopf algebra with invertible antipode H to the universal “partial Hopf algebra” H_{par} .*
- (3) *The category of partial H modules, ie, the H_{par} modules, is a monoidal category $({}_{H_{par}} \mathcal{M}, \otimes_A, A)$ and the forgetful functor $U : {}_{H_{par}} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow {}_A \mathcal{M}_A$ is strictly monoidal.*

- (4) The algebra objects in the monoidal category $H_{par}\mathcal{M}$ coincide with the partial H modules algebras, ie, partial actions of H on algebras.

Example 5.18. Consider a field of characteristic not equal to 2 and the Hopf algebra $H = (kC_2)^*$. As we have seen in Example 5.15, the Hopf algebroid H_{par} is the three dimensional algebra $k[x]/\langle x(x-1)(2x-1) \rangle$. Then the partial H modules, which can be interpreted as partially $\mathbb{Z}_2$ graded vector spaces are of the form

$$X = X_0 \oplus X_1 \oplus X_{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The algebra objects are the partially $\mathbb{Z}_2$ graded algebras which are described in Theorem 3.7.

The role played by Hopf algebroids in the theory of partial representations of Hopf algebras can be viewed as an analogous of the role played by inverse semigroups in partial representations of groups. The theory of Hopf algebroids can provide a fresh and wider environment to treat partial representations and partial modules. The monoidal structure of the category of partial modules gives rise to many new questions from the point of view of representation theory. It remains several aspects to be explored and many unexpected connections between these new objects to be found.

6. CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES

*For we know in part...
but when that which is perfect is come,
then that which is in part shall be done away.
I Corinthians 13:9-10*

So far, it has been two decades of history of partial actions since its first appearance in the context of operator algebras. To conclude this survey, let us point out some open doors that may unveil new research opportunities in the area of partial actions for the next future years.

- (1) In much of the theory of partial group actions, the group is considered to be discrete. In order to take into account the topological or differentiable structure of the group some extra assumptions have to be made in order to make the domains vary coherently with the elements of the group. The simplest case of partial actions coming from incomplete fluxes of vector fields on a differentiable manifold [2] remains to be fully understood. As said before, the globalization of these partial actions give rise to non-Hausdorff manifolds, then the techniques of noncommutative differential geometry may be needed to treat these globalized spaces. A concrete problem with Physical interest is the resolution of cosmic singularities. The famous Hawking-Penrose theorem states that very generic conditions of causality in the space time and with positive energy conditions for gravity will force the space time to have incomplete causal geodesics [50]. Then the globalization of this partial action of the additive group of real numbers, which would be the candidate of “space time” with a complete set of causal geodesics, would be a non-Hausdorff space, perhaps better described in terms of noncommutative geometry. The theory of Lie groupoids [53] could provide a useful language to describe partial actions of Lie groups over smooth manifolds.

- (2) The partial representations of groups has been done thoroughly for finite groups [31, 35]. The machinery to find irreducible partial representations of a finite group G uses explicitly the structure of the groupoid $\Gamma(G)$ described in the previous section. For an infinite discrete group, it is also possible to characterize the partial group algebra $k_{par}G$ as an étale groupoid of germs as described in [24]. But for a general topological or Lie group, the description of the partial group algebra as a groupoid algebra has not been achieved. Even the classification of finite dimensional partial representations of a compact Lie group, for example needs to be done. An interesting object to be analysed is the Hopf algebroid of representative functions of $k_{par}G$, for G a compact Lie group.
- (3) So far, we have a way to find partial representations of a given Hopf algebra H out of a classical H module with a projection on it satisfying certain commutation relations [12]. The globalization procedure can be extended to partial representation, that is what we call a dilation of a partial representation, which is a functor between the category of partial H modules and the category of H -modules with projections [12]. The relationship between the subcategory of H -modules inside the category of partial H -modules need to be better clarified. The role of the monoidal structure over $A \subseteq H_{par}$ in the category of partial H -modules and the monoidal structure over the base field k in the category of H -modules remains elusive.
- (4) The theory of partial co-representations and partial comodules of Hopf algebras has been developed in [13]. There, one obtains a universal coalgebra which factorizes partial co-representations by morphism of coalgebras in a dual way that the partial “Hopf algebra” H_{par} factorizes partial representations by morphisms of algebras. The Hopf algebroid of this coalgebra can shed some light in the monoidal structure of the category of partial H -comodules and it allows one to construct new categories, as the category of partial Yetter-Drinfeld modules.
- (5) The categorification of partial actions is also a direction to be explored. The first approach appeared in [5], in which a Hopf algebra acted partially on a k -linear category. More recently, a new approach, of Hopf categories, emerged in [16]. Basically, a Hopf category is a multi object analogue of a Hopf algebra. In particular, groupoids are examples of Hopf categories which enables one to describe partial actions of groups on sets by Hopf categories. Hopf categories may become a useful technique for the study of partial actions.
- (6) There is much to be done in the direction to fully understand partial actions from a purely categorical point of view. When we study the partial representations of a Hopf algebra, we end up with the Hopf algebroid H_{par} . Both objects are Hopf monads on appropriated monoidal categories. Then the transition between partial modules and modules we make a relation between two different Hopf monads. To unlock these subtle connections will allow us to navigate between different representation structures in several contexts, like multiplier Hopf algebras or Hopfish structures.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Abadie: “Sobre ações parciais, fibrados de Fell e grupóides”, PhD Thesis, São Paulo, 1999.
- [2] F. Abadie: “Enveloping Actions and Takai duality for Partial Actions”, J. Funct. Analysis 197 (1) (2003) 14-67.
- [3] F. Abadie: “On partial actions and groupoids”, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 132 (2004), 1037-1047.
- [4] F. Abadie, M. Dokuchaev, R. Exel, J. J. Simón: “Morita equivalence of partial group actions and globalization”, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 368 (2016) 4957-4992.
- [5] E. R. Alvares, M. M. S. Alves, E. Batista: “Partial Hopf module categories”, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 217 (2013) 1517-1534.
- [6] M.M.S. Alves and E. Batista: “Enveloping Actions for Partial Hopf Actions”, Comm. Algebra 38 (2010), 2872-2902.
- [7] M. M. S. Alves, E. Batista: “Partial Hopf actions, partial invariants and a Morita context”, J. Algebra Discrete Math. 3 (2009), 1-19.
- [8] M. M. S. Alves, E. Batista: “Globalization theorems for partial Hopf (co)actions and some of their applications”, Contemp. Math. 537 (2011), 13-30.
- [9] M. M. S. Alves, E. Batista, M. Dokuchaev, A. Paques: “Twisted partial actions of Hopf algebras”, Israel J. Math. 197 (2013) 263-308.
- [10] M. M. S. Alves, E. Batista, M. Dokuchaev, A. Paques: “Twisted partial actions of Hopf algebras”, J. Australian Math. Soc. (2016), available on CJO2016. doi:10.1017/S1446788715000774.
- [11] M. M. S. Alves, E. Batista, J. Vercruysse: “Partial representations of Hopf algebras”, J. Algebra 426 (2015) 137-187.
- [12] M. M. S. Alves, E. Batista, J. Vercruysse: “Dilations of partial representations of Hopf algebras”, to appear.
- [13] M. M. S. Alves, E. Batista, F. L. Castro, G. Quadros, J. Vercruysse: “Partial co-representations of Hopf algebras”, to appear.
- [14] D. Bagio, W. Cortes, M. Ferrero, A. Paques: “Actions of inverse semigroups on algebras” Comm. Algebra 35 No. 12 (2007) 3865-3874.
- [15] E. Batista, J. Vercruysse: “Dual constructions for partial actions of Hopf algebras”, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 220, vol 2 (2016) 518-559.
- [16] E. Batista, S. Caenepeel, J. Vercruysse: “Hopf Categories”, arXiv:1503.05447 (to appear in Algebra and Representation Theory).
- [17] J. C. Birget, J. Rhodes: “Almost finite expansions of arbitrary semigroups”, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 32 (1984) 239-287.
- [18] J. C. Birget, J. Rhodes: “Group theory via global semigroup theory”, J. Algebra 120 (1989) 284-300.
- [19] R. J. Blattner, M. Cohen and S. Montgomery: “Crossed products and inner actions of Hopf algebras”, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 208 (1986) 671-711.
- [20] G. Boava, R. Exel: “Partial crossed product description of the C^* -algebras associated with integral domains”, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 141 (2013) 2439-2451.
- [21] G. Böhm: “Hopf algebroids”, in: M. Hazewinkel (Ed.), *Handbook of Algebra*, vol. 6, Elsevier, (2009) 173-236.
- [22] G. Böhm: “The weak theory of monads”, Adv. Math. 225 (2010) 1-32.
- [23] T. Brzezinski: “The structure of corings. Induction functors, Maschke-type theorems, and Frobenius and Galois properties”, Alg. Repres. Theory 5 (2002) 389-410.
- [24] A. Buss, R. Exel, R. Meyer: “Inverse semigroup actions as groupoid actions”, Semigroup Forum 85 (2012) 227-243.
- [25] S. Caenepeel: “Galois coringfs from the descent point of view”, Fields Inst. Comm. 43 (2004), 163-186.
- [26] S. Caenepeel and E. D. Groot: “Galois corings applied to partial Galois theory”, Proc. ICMA-2004, Kuwait Univ. (2005) 117-134.
- [27] S. Caenepeel, K. Janssen: “Partial (co)actions of Hopf algebras and partial Hopf-Galois theory”, Comm. Algebra 36 (2008) 2923-2946.
- [28] S. U. Chase, D. K. Harrison and A. Rosenberg: “Galois theory and Galois cohomology of commutative rings”, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 52 (1968) 1-19.
- [29] M. Dokuchaev: “Partial actions: a survey”, Contemp. Math. 537 (2011), 173-184.

- [30] M. Dokuchaev, R. Exel: “Associativity of Crossed Products by Partial Actions, Enveloping Actions and Partial Representations” *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 357 (5) (2005) 1931-1952.
- [31] M. Dokuchaev, R. Exel, P. Piccione: “Partial Representations and Partial Group Algebras”, *J. Algebra* 226 (2000) 505-532.
- [32] M. Dokuchaev, R. Exel, J. J. Simón: “Crossed products by twisted partial actions and graded algebras”, *J. Algebra* 320 No. 8 (2008) 3278-3310.
- [33] M. Dokuchaev, R. Exel, J. J. Simón: “Globalization of twisted partial actions”, *Trans. amer. Math. Soc.* 362 No. 8 (2010) 4137-4160.
- [34] M. Dokuchaev, M. Ferrero, A. Paques: “Partial Actions and Galois Theory”, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 208 No. 1 (2007) 77-87.
- [35] M. Dokuchaev, N. Zhukavets: “On Finite Degree Partial Representations of Groups”, *J. Algebra* 274 (2004) 309-334.
- [36] M. V. Lawson: “Inverse semigroups: The theory of partial symmetries”, World Scientific Pub. Co. Inc. (1999)
- [37] C. Lomp: “Duality for partial group actions”, *Int. Electronic J. Algebra* 4 (2008) 53-62.
- [38] R. Exel: “Circle Actions on C^* -Algebras, Partial Automorphisms and Generalized Pimsner-Voiculescu Exact Sequences”, *J. Funct. Anal.* 122 (3)(1994) 361-401.
- [39] R. Exel: “Partial Actions of Groups and Actions of Semigroups”, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 126 (12) (1998) 3481-3494.
- [40] R. Exel: “The Bunce-Deddens algebras as crossed products by partial automorphisms”, *Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.)*, 25 (1994) 173-179.
- [41] R. Exel: “Approximately finite C^* -algebras and partial automorphisms”, *Math. Scand.* 77 (1995) 281-288.
- [42] R. Exel: “Partial dynamical systems, Fell bundles and applications”, *arXiv:1511.04565* (2015).
- [43] R. Exel, T. Giordano and D. Gonçalves: “Envelope algebras of partial actions as groupoid C^* -algebras”, *J. Operator Theory* 65 (2011) 197-210.
- [44] R. Exel, M. Laca: “Cuntz Krieger algebras for infinite matrices”, *J. Reine Angw. Math.* 512 (1999) 119-172.
- [45] R. Exel, M. Laca, J. Quigg: “Partial dynamical systems and C^* -algebras generated by partial isometries”, *J. Operator Theory* 47 (2002) 169-186.
- [46] R. Exel, F. Vieira: “Actions of inverse semigroups arising from partial actions of groups”, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 363 No.1 (2010) 86-96.
- [47] J. M. Fernández-Vilaboa, R. González-Rodríguez, A. B. Rodríguez-Raposo: “Partial and unified crossed products are weak crossed products”, *Contemp. Math.* 585 (2013) 261-274.
- [48] M. Ferrero: “Partial actions of groups on semiprime rings”, in *Groups, Rings and Group Rings*, *Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math.* 248 (2006) 155-162.
- [49] M. Ferrero, J. Lazzarin: “Partial actions and partial skew group rings”, *J. Algebra* 319 No. 12 (2008) 5247-5264.
- [50] S. W. Hawking, G. F. R. Ellis: “The large scale structure of Space-Time”, Cambridge U. Press (1973).
- [51] J. Kellendonk, M. V. Lawson: “Partial actions of groups”, *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.* 14 No.1 (2004) 87-114.
- [52] C. Lomp: “Duality for partial group actions”, *Int. Electronic J. Algebra* 4 (2008), 53-62.
- [53] K. Mackenzie: “Lie Groupoids and Lie Algebroids in Differential Geometry”, *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series* 124, Cambridge U. Press. (1987).
- [54] J. C. Quigg, I. Raeburn: “Characterizations of Crossed Products by Partial Actions”, *J. Operator Theory* 37 (1997), 311-340.
- [55] B. Steinberg: “Inverse semigroup homomorphisms via partial group actions”, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 64 No.1 (2001) 157-168.
- [56] M. B. Szendrei: “A note on Birget-Rhodes expansion of groups”, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 58 No. 1 (1989) 93-99.
- [57] C. Wang: “Enveloping Coactions for Partial Hopf Coactions”, *Multimedia Technology (ICMT)*, 2011 IEEE International Conference on (2011) 2096-2098.
- [58] R. Wisbauer: “On Galois corings”, in S. Caenepeel and F. Van Oysteyen *Hopf algebras in noncommutative geometry and physics*, *Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math.* 239 (2000) 1-12.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE SANTA CATARINA, BRAZIL
E-mail address: `eliezer1968@gmail.com`